



# City of Hollywood

Hollywood City Hall  
2600 Hollywood Blvd  
Hollywood, FL 33020  
<http://www.hollywoodfl.org>

## Legislation Text

---

**File #:** R-2021-208, **Version:** 1

---

A Resolution Of The City Commission Of The City Of Hollywood, Florida, Ranking Engineering Firms To Provide Various Engineering Services For Citywide Projects; Authorizing The Appropriate City Officials To Execute Continuing Service Contracts With Each Of The Highest Ranked Firms Based On Each Firm's Discipline Over A Three Year Period.

Infrastructure & Facilities

Staff Recommends: Approval of the Attached Resolution.

### Explanation:

It is in the best interest of the City to enter into Continuing Service Contracts with multiple engineering firms ("consultants") to provide professional engineering services that may be required to implement future Citywide projects.

Services to be accomplished under these contracts will include various future unidentified projects in which the estimated individual construction cost does not exceed \$4,000,000.00 or for a study activity if the fee for each individual study does not exceed \$500,000.00.

The City has followed the procedures set forth in Section 287.055, Florida Statutes, entitled "Consultants' Competitive Negotiation Act" ("CCNA"), to obtain such services.

On March 11, 2021, the City advertised Request for Qualifications pursuant to. RFQ-4666-21-DCM ("RFQ") on BidSync for Various Engineering Services disciplines through Continuing Services Contracts.

The following Disciplines were solicited in the RFQ:

1. Coastal and Marine Engineering
2. Civil Engineering General
3. Civil Engineering Roadway
4. Traffic Engineering & Transportation Planning
5. Environmental Engineering
6. Construction Engineering Inspections
7. Geotechnical, Materials Testing, Special and Threshold Inspection Services
8. Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing Engineering

9. Structural Engineering
10. Information Technology, Access, CCTV

237 consultants viewed the RFQ and 47 consultants downloaded the documents from Bidsync, and on April 22, 2021, the City received Statement of Qualifications ("SOQs") packages from 51 consultants.

The 51 consultants that submitted SOQs are as follows:

1. AE Engineering, Inc.
2. BCC Engineering, LLC.
3. BMA Consulting Engineering, Inc.
4. Calvin Giordano & Associates, Inc.
5. Cardno, Inc.
6. Carnahan Proctor and Cross, Inc.
7. CES Consultants, Inc.
8. Chen Moore and Associates, Inc.
9. Coastal Systems International, Inc.
10. Craven Thompson & Associates Inc.
11. Cummins Cederberg, Inc.
12. DRMP, Inc.
13. DTM Tech, Inc.
14. E Sciences, Incorporated
15. EAC Consulting, Inc.
16. Engenuity Group Inc.
17. Florida Technical Consultants
18. G. M. Selby, Inc.
19. Gresham Smith
20. H2R Corp
21. HBC Engineering Company
22. Jezerinac Group, PLLC
23. Johnson Mirmiran & Thompson, Inc.
24. Keith and Associates, Inc.
25. Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
26. Lakdas/Yohalem Engineering, Inc.
27. Langan Engineering and Environmental Services, Inc.
28. Lisa S. Bernstein
29. Marlin Engineering, Inc.
30. Master Consulting Engineers, Inc.
31. Miller Legg & Associated, Inc. d/b/a Miller, Legg
32. Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.
33. NOVA Engineering & Environmental, LLC.
34. NV5, Inc.
35. Professional Service Industries, Inc.

36. R. J. Behar & Company, Inc.
37. Radise International, L.C.
38. Ribbeck Engineering Inc.
39. Ross & Baruzzini, Inc.
40. Rummel, Klepper & Kahl, LLP d/b/a RK&K
41. SGM Engineering, Inc.
42. Stantec Consulting Services Inc.
43. Tectonic Group International, LLC
44. Terracon Consultants, Inc.
45. The Corradino Group, Inc.
46. The Osborne Engineering Company
47. Thompson & Associates Inc.
48. Tierra South Florida Inc. d/b/a TSFGEO
49. TRC Worldwide Engineering, Inc.
50. Turrell Hall & Associates Inc
51. Universal Engineering Sciences, LLC.

City staff assembled a Selection Committee comprised of two Project Managers from Design and Construction Management, an Assistant City Engineer, and the Director of Information Technology.

On May 26, 2021, May 27, 2021, June 10, 2021 and June 14, 2021, members of the Selection Committee met in four publicly advertised meetings to evaluate SOQs based on the selection criteria published in the RFQ, and determined that interviews and/or oral presentations were not necessary.

The Selection Committee ranked and qualified consultants as described below, with the highest average score indicating the highest ranked, for each of the 10 Disciplines:

A. Coastal and Marine Engineering (five consultants qualified out of eight submissions for this discipline):

- |                                        |                  |
|----------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. Cummins Cederberg, Inc.             | 98 Average Score |
| 2. Coastal Systems International, Inc. | 94 Average Score |
| 3. Stantec Consulting Services Inc.    | 89 Average Score |
| 4. G. M. Selby, Inc.                   | 88 Average Score |
| 5. Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.              | 88 Average Score |

B. Civil Engineering General (10 consultants qualified out of 19 submissions for this discipline):

- |                                    |                  |
|------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. Coastal Systems International   | 95 Average Score |
| 2. Kimley-Horn and Associates Inc. | 92 Average Score |
| 3. Keith and Associates Inc.       | 92 Average Score |

4. Craven Thompson & Associates Inc.	86 Average Score
5. Calvin Giordano & Associates Inc.	84 Average Score
6. Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	84 Average Score
7. Rummel, Klepper & Kahl, LLP. d/b/a RK&K	83 Average Score
8. Chen Moore and Associates, Inc.	83 Average Score
9. NV5, Inc.	80 Average Score
10. Engenuity Group Inc.	80 Average Score

C. Civil Engineering Roadway (nine consultants qualified out of 22 submissions for this discipline):

1. BCC Engineering, LLC.	89 Average Score
2. The Corradino Group, Inc.	88 Average Score
3. Marlin Engineering, Inc.	87 Average Score
4. Calvin Giordano & Associates Inc.	87 Average Score
5. Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	86 Average Score
6. Johnson, Mirmiran & Thompson, Inc.	86 Average Score
7. R. J. Behar & Company, Inc.	85 Average Score
8. EAC Consulting, Inc.	85 Average Score
9. CES Consultants, Inc.	84 Average Score

D. Traffic Engineering & Transportation Planning (15 consultants qualified out of 16 submissions for this discipline):

1. Carnahan Proctor and Cross, Inc.	91 Average Score
2. Marlin Engineering, Inc.	89 Average Score
3. Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	89 Average Score
4. Calvin Giordano & Associates, Inc.	88 Average Score
5. The Corradino Group, Inc.	87 Average Score
6. Rummel, Klepper & Kahl, LLP d/b/a RK&K	86 Average Score
7. BCC Engineering, LLC.	85 Average Score
8. Johnson, Mirmiran & Thompson, Inc.	84 Average Score
9. Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	83 Average Score
10. R. J. Behar & Company, Inc.	82 Average Score
11. Keith and Associates, Inc.	81 Average Score
12. DRMP, Inc.	81 Average Score
13. HBC Engineering Company	72 Average Score
14. Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	72 Average Score
15. Lisa S. Bernstein	72 Average Score

E. Environmental Engineering (seven consultants qualified out of 13 submissions for this discipline):

1. Terracon Consultants, Inc.	93 Average Score
2. Radise International, L.C.	92 Average Score

- |    |                                                     |                  |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 3. | Professional Service Industries, Inc.               | 90 Average Score |
| 4. | E Sciences, Incorporated                            | 90 Average Score |
| 5. | Universal Engineering Sciences, LLC.                | 89 Average Score |
| 6. | NOVA Engineering & Environmental, LLC.              | 89 Average Score |
| 7. | Langan Engineering and Environmental Services, Inc. | 86 Average Score |

F. Construction Engineering Inspections (nine consultants qualified out of 19 submissions for this discipline):

- |    |                                        |                  |
|----|----------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Carnahan Proctor and Cross, Inc.       | 93 Average Score |
| 2. | AE Engineering, Inc.                   | 91 Average Score |
| 3. | Tectonic Group International, LLC.     | 90 Average Score |
| 4. | Stantec Consulting Services Inc.       | 88 Average Score |
| 5. | BCC Engineering, LLC.                  | 87 Average Score |
| 6. | Rummel, Klepper & Kahl, LLP d/b/a RK&K | 87 Average Score |
| 7. | Johnson, Mirmiran & Thompson, Inc.     | 82 Average Score |
| 8. | The Corradino Group, Inc.              | 82 Average Score |
| 9. | CES Consultants, Inc.                  | 82 Average Score |

G. Geotechnical, Materials Testing, Special and Threshold Inspection Services (eight consultants qualified out of 12 submissions for this discipline):

- |    |                                        |                  |
|----|----------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Tierra South Florida Inc. d/b/a TSFGE  | 93 Average Score |
| 2. | Professional Service Industries, Inc.  | 91 Average Score |
| 3. | Radise International, LLC.             | 90 Average Score |
| 4. | NV5, Inc.                              | 90 Average Score |
| 5. | Terracon Consultants, Inc.             | 88 Average Score |
| 6. | NOVA Engineering & Environmental, LLC. | 87 Average Score |
| 7. | H2R Corp                               | 87 Average Score |
| 8. | Universal Engineering Sciences, LLC.   | 85 Average Score |

H. Mechanical, Electrical, and Plumbing Engineering (four consultants qualified out of eight submissions for this discipline):

- |    |                                 |                  |
|----|---------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | SGM Engineering, Inc.           | 93 Average Score |
| 2. | DTM Tech, Inc.                  | 90 Average Score |
| 3. | The Osborne Engineering Company | 88 Average Score |
| 4. | Gresham Smith                   | 81 Average Score |

I. Structural Engineering (five consultants qualified out of 13 submissions for this discipline):

- |    |                                  |                  |
|----|----------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Jezerinac Group, PLLC.           | 92 Average Score |
| 2. | Master Consulting Engineers, Inc | 92 Average Score |
| 3. | Lakdas/Yohalem Engineering, Inc. | 90 Average Score |
| 4. | Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. | 87 Average Score |
| 5. | TRC Worldwide Engineering, Inc.  | 86 Average Score |

J. Information Technology, Access, CCTV (four consultants qualified out of five submissions

for this discipline):

1. Ross & Baruzzini, Inc.	92 Average Score
2. The Osborne Engineering Company	87 Average Score
3. NV5, Inc.	85 Average Score
4. G. M. Selby Inc.	71 Average Score

Consulting services for specific projects will be requested on an “as needed - when needed” basis and the initial term of each continuing service contract will be for three years with the option to renew the contract for two subsequent one-year terms based on satisfactory performance and mutual agreement.

The Department of Design and Construction Management (DCM) and the Chief Procurement Officer recommend that the City Commission authorize the appropriate City officials to execute Continuing Service contracts with each of the highest-ranked consultants based upon their disciplines to provide engineering services as set forth above, and begin contract negotiations as Citywide projects are approved.

Fiscal Impact:

Approval of this Resolution will not fiscally impact the City until individual contracts are negotiated, approved, and executed. Future funding may be required and will be subject to City Commission approval.

Recommended for inclusion on the agenda by:

Steve Stewart, Assistant Director, Financial Services for Procurement

Nicole Heran, Deputy Director, Design and Construction Management

Jose Cortes, Director, Design and Construction Management

Gus Zambrano, AICP, Assistant City Manager/Sustainable Development