

City of Hollywood

Procurement Services

Steve Stewart, Chief Procurement Officer 2600 Hollywood Boulevard, Hollywood, FL 33020

EVALUATION TABULATION

RFQ No. RFQ-042-23-JJ

Infrastructure Projects (Water, Sewer, Reuse and Stormwater)

RESPONSE DEADLINE: February 28, 2023 at 3:00 pm Report Generated: Tuesday, June 20, 2023

PHASE 1

EVALUATORS

Name	Title		
Juan Figueroa	Senior Project Manager		
nicole heran	Deputy Director Design and Construction Management		
Jeff Jiang	Assistant Director		
Wilhelmina Montero	Senior Project Manager		
Raul Wainer	Project Manager		

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)		
Firm Qualifications and Experience	Points Based	25 (25% of Total)		

Description:

Overall approach, similar project experience and project management

Infrastructure Projects (Water, Sewer, Reuse and Stormwater)

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Organizational Profile and Project Team Qualifications	Points Based	25 (25% of Total)

Description:

Professional experience and qualifications of team members

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Approach to Scope of Work	Points Based	25 (25% of Total)

Description:

Understanding City's needs, goals and objectives, overview of proposed vision, ideas, and methodology, as it relates to meeting project budget and timeline for completion.

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Past Performance - References	Points Based	15 (15% of Total)

Description:

Provide at least three references, preferably from Government entities, for completed projects with similar Scope contained in this RFQ.

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Value of Past Contract Awards by the City in the last 5-years	Points Based	5 (5% of Total)

Description:

EVALUATION TABULATION

Request For Qualification - Infrastructure Projects (Water, Sewer, Reuse and Stormwater)

Page 2

Volume of work awarded to each firm with the object of equitable distribution among equally qualified firms, provided such does not violate the principle of the selection of the most qualified firm (firms with the highest total amount of project fees for all contracts with the City will be given less points).

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)		
Location of Respondent Office Managing Project	Points Based	5 (5% of Total)		

Description:

Proximity and overall distance from Consultant's office that will perform the work to the City of Hollywood.

AGGREGATE SCORES SUMMARY

Vendor	Juan Figueroa	nicole heran	Jeff Jiang	Wilhelmina Montero	Raul Wainer	Total Score (Max Score 100)
Tetra Tech, Inc.	97	97	93	95	94	95.2
CDM Smith Inc.	93	96	93	92	97	94.2
Craven, Thompson & Associates, Inc.	94	91	91	87	92	91
Chen Moore and Associates	94	93	90	77	97	90.2
Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	91	92	85	86	93	89.4
CES Consultants, Inc,	95	96	81	85	85	88.4
Gannett Fleming, Inc.	88	94	90	77	93	88.4
HDR Engineering, Inc.	84	98	82	78	89	86.2
BCC Engineering LLC	79	96	85	80	87	85.4

Vendor	Juan Figueroa	nicole heran	Jeff Jiang	Wilhelmina Montero	Raul Wainer	Total Score (Max Score 100)
R.J. Behar & Company, Inc.	83	96	82	79	85	85
Calvin, Giordano & Associates, Inc.	80	96	84	79	85	84.8
EAC Consulting Inc	73	95	89	83	84	84.8
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	82	97	70	90	84	84.6
HBC Engineering Company	84	91	87	74	85	84.2
KEITH	75	97	80	81	84	83.4
Carollo Engineers, Inc.	87	93	63	82	91	83.2
Wade Trim, Inc.	70	90	86	83	85	82.8
300 Engineering Group, LLC	70	92	86	80	84	82.4
Water Resources Management Associates, Inc.	70	88	80	79	87	80.8

VENDOR SCORES BY EVALUATION CRITERIA

Vendor	Firm Qualifications and Experience Points Based 25 Points (25%)	Organizational Profile and Project Team Qualifications Points Based 25 Points (25%)	Approach to Scope of Work Points Based 25 Points (25%)	Past Performance - References Points Based 15 Points (15%)	Value of Past Contract Awards by the City in the last 5-years Points Based 5 Points (5%)	Location of Respondent Office Managing Project Points Based 5 Points (5%)	Total Score (Max Score 100)
Tetra Tech, Inc.	24.6	24.4	24.6	14.6	2	5	95.2

Vendor	Firm Qualifications and Experience Points Based 25 Points (25%)	Organizational Profile and Project Team Qualifications Points Based 25 Points (25%)	Approach to Scope of Work Points Based 25 Points (25%)	Past Performance - References Points Based 15 Points (15%)	Value of Past Contract Awards by the City in the last 5-years Points Based 5 Points (5%)	Location of Respondent Office Managing Project Points Based 5 Points (5%)	Total Score (Max Score 100)
CDM Smith Inc.	24	23.8	24	14.8	2.6	5	94.2
Craven, Thompson & Associates, Inc.	23.4	23.2	23	14.4	2	5	91
Chen Moore and Associates	22.8	22.2	22	14.2	4	5	90.2
Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	22.4	22	21.8	14.2	4	5	89.4
CES Consultants,	21.8	21.2	21	14.4	5	5	88.4
Gannett Fleming, Inc.	21.4	21.8	21.8	13.4	5	5	88.4
HDR Engineering, Inc.	20.8	20.4	20.4	14.6	5	5	86.2
BCC Engineering LLC	20.2	20.8	20.2	14.2	5	5	85.4
R.J. Behar & Company, Inc.	20.4	21	19	14.6	5	5	85
Calvin, Giordano & Associates, Inc.	20.6	20	19.8	14.4	5	5	84.8
EAC Consulting Inc	21.4	21.4	20.4	14.6	2	5	84.8
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	21.8	21.4	20.8	13.6	2	5	84.6

EVALUATION TABULATION

Vendor	Firm Qualifications and Experience Points Based 25 Points (25%)	Organizational Profile and Project Team Qualifications Points Based 25 Points (25%)	Approach to Scope of Work Points Based 25 Points (25%)	Past Performance - References Points Based 15 Points (15%)	Value of Past Contract Awards by the City in the last 5-years Points Based 5 Points (5%)	Location of Respondent Office Managing Project Points Based 5 Points (5%)	Total Score (Max Score 100)
HBC Engineering Company	20.6	20.2	19.6	13.8	5	5	84.2
KEITH	20.4	20	18.8	14.2	5	5	83.4
Carollo Engineers, Inc.	20	21.6	19.8	11.8	5	5	83.2
Wade Trim, Inc.	20	19.6	19	14.2	5	5	82.8
300 Engineering Group, LLC	19.2	19.2	19.6	14.4	5	5	82.4
Water Resources Management Associates, Inc.	19.2	18.6	18.4	14.6	5	5	80.8