RESOLUTION NO.

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF HOLLYWOOD, FLORIDA, AUTHORIZING THE APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO NEGOTIATE AND EXECUTE A CONTINUING THREE (3) YEAR AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE THREE (3) HIGHEST RANKED FIRMS AND THE CITY OF HOLLYWOOD TO PROVIDE STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING SERVICES.

WHEREAS, the Department of Public Works, pursuant to Florida State Statute 287.055, Consultants Competitive Negotiation Act, is seeking to engage the services and enter into a continuing contract with up to three (3) qualified engineering firms to provide structural engineering design services on an as needed basis for miscellaneous City projects as authorized by individual Consultant's Authorization to Proceed for individual projects; and

WHEREAS, the City of Hollywood has buildings and other facilities in various locations throughout the City which it needs to maintain and update on a regular basis. It is expected that some projects will be designed by City Staff and the services of the selected engineering consultants would be required for those projects; and

WHEREAS, on May 8, 2014, notifications of Request for Qualifications (RFQ) Number 4421-14-RD were mailed and the RFQ was legally advertised on the City's website as well as DemandStar.Com in accordance with the City's Purchasing Ordinance, Section 38.42(A)(1); and

WHEREAS, RFQ-4421-14-RD was opened at 3:00 p.m. on Friday, May 30, 2014, and resulted in the following eleven (11) responses:

American Engineering Design Bay Harbor, Florida

BCC Engineering, Inc. Fort Lauderdale, Florida

Billerreinhart Structural Group, Inc. Hollywood, Florida

DeRose Design Consultants Pompano Beach, Florida

Douglas Wood Associates, Inc.

Coral Gables, Florida

Duran Consulting Engineering Miami, Florida

Lakdas/Yohalem Engineering, Inc. Fort Lauderdale, Florida

Pistorino & Alam Consulting Engineers, Inc. Miami, Florida

Sanalil Construction Plantation, Florida

TRC Worldwide Engineering, Inc. Fort Lauderdale, Florida

Walker Parking Consultants Tampa; Florida

:and

WHEREAS, the proposals submitted by Sanalil Construction and American Engineering Design were declared non-responsive as their proposals submitted were incomplete; and

WHEREAS, the RFQ document's Consultant Selection Process required an initial evaluation committee to review and score/rank the responsive proposals based upon the Initial Selection Criteria by which a minimum of three (3) firms will be shortlisted for oral interviews; and

WHEREAS, the initial evaluation of the proposals was based upon the following criteria as established in the RFQ: Past Performance, Professional experience and qualifications of personnel to be assigned to the projects, Demonstrated ability to meet time and budget requirements, Commitment to use the same personnel consistently under the contract, Location of the Consultant's office, Similar experience – comparable in type, size and complexity, Current capability - Current and projected workloads of the firm in conjunction with the number of registered engineers, Prior structural engineering experience of professional personnel with designing City facilities and knowledge of City requirements; and

WHEREAS, on June 12, 2014, the proposals were evaluated by a five (5) member committee representing the Public Works Department, Public Utilities Department and the Engineering Services Division; and

WHEREAS, the nine (9) responsive proposals received were evaluated and the scores were compiled to arrive at the following rankings, (highest score), first choice to ninth choice:

•	Lakdas/Yohalem Engineering, Inc.	425	
•	TRC Worldwide Engineering, Inc.	423	
•	DeRose Consultants	403	
•	Walker Parking Consultants	386	
•	BCC Engineering	375	
•	Billerreinhart Structural Group	372	
•	Douglas Wood Associates, Inc.	360	
•	Pistorino & Alam Consulting Engineers, Inc.	353	
•	Duran Consulting Engineering	264	; and

WHEREAS, after an evaluation of the RFQ responses, the Evaluation Committee recommended that the five (5) highest ranked proposers by score be scheduled to make an oral presentation to the Evaluation Committee; and

WHEREAS, on June 27, 2014, the five (5) highest scoring firms made oral presentations to the Evaluation Committee; and

WHEREAS, evaluation of the oral presentations was based upon the following criteria as established in the RFQ: Knowledge of sites and local conditions, Proposed project staff functions, Overall approach and methodology, Design philosophy and concepts, Cost control and value engineering and Schedule for projects; and

WHEREAS, the oral presentations were evaluated and the scores were compiled to arrive at the following rankings, (highest score), first choice to fifth choice:

 Lakdas/Yohalem Engineering, Inc. 	454	
 DeRose Design Consultants 	441	
 TRC Worldwide Engineering, Inc. 	440	
BCC Engineering, Inc.	402	
 Walker Parking Consultants 	390	;and

WHEREAS, the combined scores of the initial evaluation and the oral evaluation/presentations by the Evaluation Committee resulted in the following ranking:

 Lakdas/Yohalem Engineering, Inc. 	879	
 TRC Worldwide Engineering, Inc 	863	
 DeRose Design Consultants 	844	
 BCC Engineering, Inc. 	777	
 Walker Parking Consultants 	776	;and

WHEREAS, the Department of Public Works is seeking to engage the services and enter into a continuing contract with up to three (3) qualified engineering firms; and

WHEREAS, it is the recommendation of the Evaluation Committee to rank the three (3) highest scoring firms as follows:

- 1. Lakdas/Yohalem Engineering, Inc.
- 2. TRC Worldwide Engineering, Inc.
- 3. Derose Design Consultants

; and

WHEREAS, all three (3) firms are responsible and it is in the best interest of the City to select one or more firms as needed; and

WHEREAS, the cumulative amount of fees to be paid for services provided by each consultant under each continuing contract shall not exceed \$1,000,000.00 and each contract will provide for an initial term of three (3) years with two (1) one (1) year renewal options; and

WHEREAS, funding for the purpose of hiring the consultants will be identified on a project by project basis within the capital budget account for each project; and

WHEREAS, award is subject to the City's receipt and approval of all insurance certificates required by the City's Risk Manager, and indemnity to the City, along with signed Statement of Hold Harmless and Indemnity in favor of the City;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF HOLLYWOOD, FLORIDA:

Section 1: That it hereby approves and authorizes the execution, by the appropriate City Officials, of the an agreement embodying the terms and conditions approved by the City Commission this date between the three (3) highest ranked firms and the City of Hollywood, in a form acceptable to the City Manager and approved as to form and legality by the City Attorney.

<u>Section 2:</u> That, if the appropriate City Officials are unable to negotiate an agreement with the highest ranked firm, they are hereby authorized to commence contract negotiations with the next ranked firms, in order, until an agreement is accepted.

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO NEGOTIATE AND EXECUTE THREE (3) YEAR AGREEMENTS BETWEEN THE THREE (3) HIGHEST RANKED FIRMS AND THE CITY TO PROVIDE STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING SERVICES

upon its pas		That this resol option.	ution shall be	in full force	and effect imm	ediately
	PASSED A	ND ADOPTED	this	day of		_, 2014.
				PETER BO	BER, MAYOR	
ATTEST:						
PATRICIA A	CERNY, M	MC, CITY CLE	RK			
APPROVED for the use a City of Holly	nd reliance		LITY			
IFFFREY P	SHEEFEL	CITY ATTORN	EY			