ATTACHMENT I Planning and Development Board Staff Report

CITY OF HOLLYWOOD, FLORIDA MEMORANDUM PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

DATE: February 13, 2014

FILE: 04-DPV-101c

TO: Planning and Development Board

VIA: Jaye M. Epstein, AICP, Director

VIA: Andria Wingett, Assistant Director

VIA: Leslie A. Del Monte, Planning Manager

FROM: Karina da Luz, Associate Planner

SUBJECT: Lago Hollywood LLC. requests Design modifications for a previously approved 34 townhome development located at 1840 N. 27th Court (Hollywood Landings Townhomes).

REQUEST:

Design modifications for a previously approved project including 34 townhomes (Hollywood Landings Townhomes).

RECOMMENDATION:

Design: Approval of two-story Modern Townhomes.

HISTORY

On October 16, 2008 the Development Review Board (DRB) approved four (4) Variances (setbacks and height), Design and Site Plan for 34 townhomes (Resolution No. 04-DPV-101a – Attachment B). Due to financing issues and the overall economic conditions, extensions were requested. Subsequently, the item was granted a 24 month extension for four (4) Variances, Design Review and Site Plan on May 17, 2010 (Resolution No. 04-DPV-101b – Attachment B). The second extension was granted pursuant to House Bill 503, Section 24 Law of Florida, on August 6, 2012 extending the approvals to May 17, 2014.

REQUEST

The request is for Design modifications for the previously approved, Hollywood Landings Townhomes, located at 1840 N. 27th Court. The Project contains 34 townhomes. The Applicant is proposing to change the previous approved Design from Mediterranean Revival to Modern; and to reduce the height of the buildings from three stories (38 feet) to two stories (21 feet). As a result of the reduction in height, one of the previously approved variances is no longer necessary. Otherwise the proposed project and Site Plan remain as previously approved. A large portion of the site is currently vacant, and the existing single family homes will be demolished. There will be five, two-story (21 feet high) buildings ranging from five to twelve units each.

As typical of the Mediterranean Revival style the previous design featured, architectural elements such as barrel tile roofs, belly columns, eave outriggers, fan transoms, windows and wrought iron railings. These elements have been replaced by flat roofs with varied parapets, clean lines, concrete eyebrows and cantilevered overhangs. The Modern style of the Townhomes is articulated by the use of stone, stucco,

and wood siding. As stated by the Applicant, "the entries to each unit are pronounced by a covered entry feature. In the rear, composite wood decks provide outdoor living spaces and places for further interaction with neighbors" as previously approved.

SITE INFORMATION

Owner: Address/Location: Net Size of Property: Gross Size of Property: Zoning: Land Use: Existing Use of Land: Lago Hollywood, LLC 1840 N. 27th Court 140,405 sq. ft. (3.22 acres) 150,041 sq. ft. (3.44 acres) Medium-High Density Multi-Family (RM-18) Low/Medium Residential (6-10 Units/acre) Vacant/Residential

ADJACENT ZONING

North:	Single Family (RS-3)
South:	Single Family (RS-3)
East:	Medium-High Density Multiple Family (RM-18)
West:	Medium-High Density Multiple Family (RM-18)

ADJACENT LAND USE

North:	Low/Medium Residential	
South:	Low Residential	
East:	Low Residential	
West:	Low/Medium Residential	

CONSISTENCY WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

The proposed project is located in the Low Medium Residential Land Use area, as identified in the City's Comprehensive Plan, which allows 6-10 units per acre. The goal of the Land Use Element is to promote a distribution of land uses that will enhance and improve the residential, business, resort and natural communities while allowing the land owners to maximize the use of their property. Hollywood Landings Townhomes will increase economic opportunities for the City by providing new residential units compatible with the surrounding residential neighborhoods.

The development is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, based upon the following:

Housing Element Objective 3: To revitalize and encourage re-investment in older neighborhoods in which housing conditions are in a state of decline.

Housing Element Objective 8: To provide a more balanced supply of housing by increasing the supply of single family homes and rental units having three or more bedrooms.

Land Use Objective 4: Promote improved architectural and streetscape design standards, code enforcement, economic development, neighborhood planning, and public information dissemination to maintain and enhance neighborhood planning, and public information dissemination to maintain and enhance neighborhoods, businesses, and tourist areas.

Hollywood Landings Townhomes will provide additional housing choices within the City. The goal of the Land Use Element is to promote a distribution of land uses enhancing and improving the residential, business, resort, and natural communities while allowing land owners to maximize the use of their property. As such the proposed Design is consistent with those goals.

CONSISTENCY WITH THE CITY-WIDE MASTER PLAN

The Site is located in Sub-Area 3; North Central Hollywood, bound by 22nd Avenue to the east, Hollywood Boulevard to the south, Sheridan Street to the north, and I-95 on the west. Some of the neighborhood issues are preserving the existing housing stock, redeveloping underutilized property, improving streetscape, and expanding municipal services.

The proposed design is consistent with the City-Wide Master Plan, based upon the following Guiding Principles and Policies:

Guiding Principle: Promote the highest and best use of land in each sector of the City without compromising the goals of the surrounding community.

Policy CW.15: Place a priority on protecting, preserving and enhancing residential neighborhoods.

Policy 3.39: Support new housing and rehabilitation to replace deteriorated structures.

The proposed project meets City-Wide Master Plan policies by providing new residential dwellings, meeting recommended design standards, thereby enhancing the community.

APPLICABLE CRITERIA

Analysis of Criteria and Findings for Design Review as stated in the City of Hollywood's Zoning and Land Development Regulations, Article 5.

- **CRITERION 1:** Architectural and Design components. Architecture refers to the architectural elements of exterior building surfaces. Architectural details should be commensurate with the building mass. The use of traditional materials for new architectural details is recommended. Design of the building(s) shall consider aesthetics and functionality, including the relationship of the pedestrian with the built environment.
- ANALYSIS: The proposed design creates an attractive built environment revitalizing and promoting a modern feel for the community. The townhomes are designed with flat roofs and varied parapets, clean lines, concrete eyebrows and cantilevered overhangs. The materials will be stone, stucco, and wood siding providing texture and interest. According to the Applicant, "the entries to each unit are pronounced by a covered entry feature. In the rear, composite wood decks provide outdoor living spaces and places for further interaction with neighbors", as previously approved. The project also includes elements that enable a pedestrian environment, such as sidewalks which meander throughout the site, connecting buildings and recreation areas; and the site to the street.
- FINDING: Consistent
- **CRITERION 2:** Compatibility. The relationship between existing architectural styles and proposed construction, including how each building along the street relates to the whole and the pattern created with adjacent structures and the surrounding neighborhood. Buildings should contain architectural details that are characteristic of the surrounding neighborhood.
- ANALYSIS: The proposed project is comprised of five buildings. The project's massing, scale, rhythm, and architectural elements are compatible with the adjacent neighborhood. The relationship with surrounding buildings is compatible since elements, detailing and color are consistent with existing built environment. As

Applicant states, "the style of the surrounding buildings is mostly ranch style homes and apartments. There is a clean simple language to the neighborhood which allows the modern, yet warm project design to fit right in."

FINDING: Consistent.

- **CRITERION 3:** Scale/Massing. Buildings shall be proportionate in scale, with a height which is consistent with the surrounding structures. Building mass shall reflect a simple composition of basic architectural details in relation to its length, width, height, lot coverage, and setting of the structure in context with adjacent buildings. Architectural details include, but are not limited to, banding, molding, and fenestration.
- ANALYSIS: The building mass reflects a simple composition of basic architectural details. The project consists of five buildings with a height of 21 feet. According to the Applicant the townhomes "relates to the single family homes than the higher multifamily buildings to the west. Providing rhythm and scale of the townhomes fits right into the neighborhood." The Architectural details are simple and warm, a modern interpretation of the surrounding Community. The project fits adequately into the surrounding neighborhood without compromising the building mass by providing two-story buildings.
- FINDING: Consistent.
- **CRITERION 4:** Landscaping. Landscaped areas should contain a variety of native and other compatible plant types and forms, and be carefully integrated with existing buildings and paved areas. Existing mature trees and other significant plants on the site should be preserved.
- ANALYSIS: The landscaping of the project remains as previously proposed, with native palms and shade trees. The Applicant states "concrete pavers compliment the modern architecture while plant materials provide a tropical feel which is enhanced by the Site adjacency to water. These include Green Buttonwood, Green Malayan, Cocoplum and Alexander Palms," as previously approved. Enhancing the property design and adding visual appeal to the neighborhood.
- FINDING: Consistent

RECOMMENDATION

Design: Approval of two-story Modern Townhomes.

ATTACHMENTS

ATTACHMENT A:	Application Package
ATTACHMENT B:	Applicable Resolutions & Extensions
ATTACHMENT C:	Land Use and Zoning Map

ATTACHMENT A Application Package

	File No. (internal use only):			
2600 Hollywood Boulevard Room 315 Hollywood, FL 33022				
MUYWOOD	APPLICATION TYPE (CHECK ONE):			
DIAMOND Good Storast	 Technical Advisory Committee City Commission Date of Application: 			
Tel: (954) 921-3471 Fax: (954) 921-3347	Location Address: 1840 North 27th Court Lot(s): 177-148B Block(s): Parcel A Subdivision: Hollyperformed Folio Number(s): 542 D9 2500 10			
This application must be completed <u>in full</u> and submitted with all documents to be placed on a Board or Committee's agenda.	Zoning Classification: <u>RM-18</u> Land Use Classification: <u>Reservention</u> Existing Property Use: <u>Resudention</u> Sq Ft/Number of Units: <u>NA</u> Is the request the result of a violation notice? () Yes (NNO If yes, attach a copy of violati Has this property been presented to the City before? If yes, check al that apply and provide Fi Number(s) and Resolution(s):			
The applicant is responsible for obtaining the appropriate checklist for each type of application.	Image: Construction of Request: Image: Construction of Request:			
Applicant(s) or their authorized legal agent must be present at all Board or Committee meetings.	Number of units/rooms: 34 Locids Sq Ft: IQDD+/- 5q Ft. Value of Improvement: Estimated Date of Completion: D014 Will Project be Phased? () Yes (100) If Phased, Estimated Completion of Each Phased			
At least one set of the submitted plans for each application must be signed and sealed (i.e. Architect or Engineer).	Name of Current Property Owner: <u>BGFK Investments LLC</u> Address of Property Owner: <u>JST5 NE 1915</u> <u>Street Aventura 32</u> Telephone: <u>BD JSD IUD</u> Fax: Email Address: <u>MG7USABID</u> , O Name of Consultant/Representative/Tenant (circle one): <u>UDD DD B. KAULER</u>			
Documents and forms can be accessed on the City's website at http://www.hollywoodfl.org/	Address: 2417 401 (2000) BOULEVAR Telephone: 454-950-574 Fax151-900-5841 Email Address: 40-R0A ? Koulevar Chułcks · Co Date of Purchase: 115013 Is there an option to purchase the Property? Yes () No (
comm_planning/appforms.htm	If Yes, Attach Copy of the Contract. List Anyone Else Who Should Receive Notice of the Hearing:			
REN 2	Address: Email Address:			



GENERAL APPLICATION

CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE REGULATIONS

The applicant/owner(s) signature certifies that he/she has been made aware of the criteria, regulations and guidelines applicable to the request. This information can be obtained in Room 315 of City Hall or on our website at <u>www.hollywoodfl.org</u>. The owner(s) further certifies that when required by applicable law, including but not limited to the City's Zoning and Land Development Regulations, they will post the site with a sign provided by the Office of Planning and Development Services. The owner(s) will photograph the sign the day of posting and submit photographs to the Office of Planning and Development Services as required by applicable law. Failure to post the sign will result in violation of State and Municipal Notification Requirements and Laws.

(I)(We) certify that (I) (we) understand and will comply with the provisions and regulations of the City's Zoning and Land Development Regulations, Design Guidelines, Design Guidelines for Historic Properties and City's Comprehensive Plan as they apply to this project. (I)(We) further certify that the above statements and drawings made on any paper or plans submitted herewith are true to the best of (my)(our) knowledge. (I)(We) understand that the application and attachments become part of the official public records of the City and are not returnable.

Signature of Current Owner:	Date: 13.6-13
PRINT NAME: ADOLFO RASCOVSKY	Date: 12-6-13
Signature of Consultant/Representative:	Date:
	Date:
Signature of Tenant:	Date:
	Date:

CURRENT OWNER POWER OF ATTORNEY

I am the current owner of the described real property and that I	am aware of the nature and effect the request for
(project description) P + D Keview	to my property, which is hereby made by me or I
am hereby authorizing (name of the representative)	hB. Kaller & Aspoc, to be my legal
representative before the PAD BOARD (Board and	nd/or Committee) relative to all matters concerning
this application.	()
	Y was a large
Sworn to and subscribed before me	- L'inti
this day of DEREM BER	SIGNATURE OF CURRENT OWNER
MY COMMISSION # DD996476	ADOLFO RASCOUSKY
Notary Public State of Fordani FloridaNotaryService.com	PRINT NAME
My Commission Expires: <u>MAY 31, 201(</u> Check One)	Personally known to me; OR



architecture - interiors - planning

October 17, 2013

City of Hollywood Planning & Zoning Division 2600 Hollywood Boulevard Hollywood, Florida 33020

Reference: Hollywood Landings 1840 North 27th Court Hollywood, Florida 33020

To Whom It May Concern:

The following are the revisions shown on the "Proposed" version of the sheets affected by changing the Townhomes from Three-Story to Two Story and the style from Mediterranean to Modern.

Sheet SP-1 Flat Roofs replace the Hip Roofs with Spanish "S" Roof Tiles.

Sheet SP-2 A traditional light post detail is replaced with a simple one.

Sheet SP-3 Style of fence, gates and railing modernized.

Sheet SP-4 The contextual street elevations show modern 2-Story Building.

Sheet R-1 Recreation Building modernized to match new Townhome Design.

Sheets A-1 - A-4Floor Plans revised to be 2-Story in the same footprint as the 3-Story Design.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact this office.

Sincerely, Joseph B. Kaller & Associates, P.A. SUGLE

Michele Sherlock Senior Associate

2417 Hollywood Blvd. Hollywood, Florida 33020-6605

(954) 920-5746 phone

(954) 926-2841 fax

joseph@kallerarchitects.com www.kallerarchitects.com



architecture - interiors - planning

GENERAL CRITERIA STATEMENT HOLLYWOOD LANDINGS December 12, 2013

1. Architectural and Design Components. Architecture refers to the Architectural elements of exterior building surfaces. Architectural Details should be commensurate with the building mass. The use of traditional materials for new Architectural Details is recommended. Design of the Building(s) shall consider aesthetics and functionality, including the relationship of the pedestrian with the built environment.

The Proposed Project design aesthic is Modern. The Two Story Townhomes have flat roofs with varied parapets, clean lines, concrete eyebrows and cantilevered overhangs. The modern look is warmed with the use of stone and wood siding. The entries to each unit are pronounced by a covered entry feature. In the rear, composite wood decks provide outdoor living spaces and places for further interaction with neighbors. Sidewalks meander throughout the site connecting building to building, building to recreation area and the site to the street. Pedestrian movement is easy, safe and fluid.

2. Compatibility. The relationship between existing Architectural styles and proposed construction, including how each building along the street relates to the whole and the pattern created with adjacent structures and the surrounding neighborhood. Buildings should contain architectural details that are characteristic of the surrounding neighborhood.

The relationship between the surrounding buildings and the proposed Project is evident is scale, color and simplicity of lines. The style of the surrounding building is mostly ranch style homes and apartments. There is a clean simple language to the neighborhood which allows the modern, yet warm project design to fit right in. 3. Scale/Massing. Buildings shall be proportionate in scale, with a height which is consistent with the surrounding structures. Building mass shall reflect a simple composition of basic architectural details in relation to its length, width, height, lot coverage and setting of the structure in context with adjacent buildings. Architectural details included, but are not limited to, banding, molding and fenestration.

The townhome buildings are two stories in height. This is in keeping with the surrounding community and relates more to the single family homes than the higher multi-family buildings to the west. The rhythm and scale of the townhomes fits right into the neighborhood. The Architectural details are simple and warm, a modern interpretation of the surrounding Community.

4. Landscaping. Landscaped area should contain a variety of native and other compatible plant types and forms, and be carefully integrated with existing buildings and paved areas. Existing mature trees and other significant plants on the site should be preserved.

Landscape and paving materials are consistent throughout. Concrete pavers compliment the modern architecture while plant materials provide a tropical feel which is enhanced by the Site adjacency to water. Native palms and shade trees add to a neighborhood, homey feel. The wood and stone used in the architecture ties directly into the proposed Landscape Design.

Hollywood Landings

1840 N. 27TH COURT HOLLYWOOD, FL 33020

MAIN BUILDING COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE CLOUD COVER OC-25



ACCENT COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE OAKRIDGE 235

GARAGE DOOR COLOR: BENJAMIN MOORE GRAY HUSKIE 1473

Hollywood Landings

1840 N. 27TH COURT HOLLYWOOD, FL 33020



COMPOSITE WOOD SIDING COLOR: IPE

LEDGE STONE VENEER COLOR: HONEY CREEK





WEST OF SITE



ACLOSS TAFT



AZROSS TAPT

































TSCHALL AND A CONTRACT OF A CO	HOLLYWOOD, FL 33020 1640 N. 2711 COURT HOLLYWOOD LANDINGS HOLLYWOOD LANDINGS FRAME F	
	MASTER DRAWING LIST accurated, average 1-1 2-1 2-1 2-2 frequest version g-1 eposter g-2 ep	CODES ALLEGICORI ALLEGICORI ALLEGICORI ALLE SATT CORE, 2013. PLARA ANDERIALT CORE, 2013.
INSTRUCTION INGS TOWNHOMES TH COURT FLORIDA	SYMBOL LEGEND	
PROPOSED NEW CONSTRUCTION HOLLYWOOD LANDINGS TOWNHOMES 1840 NORTH 27TH COURT HOLLYWOOD , FLORIDA	PROJECT TEAM And And And And And And And And	STEE ACCESSIBILITY NOTES STEE ACCE
	LEAGL DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION	 March J, San J, Ling JM, San J, Ling JM, San J, Ling JM, San J, San JJ, Ling JM, San JJ, San JJ,

•























· ·


















ATTACHMENT B Applicable Resolutions & Extensions



CITY OF HOLLYWOOD DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD

INSTR # 108228661 OR BK 45782 Pages 1823 - 1830 RECORDED 10/30/08 16:53:23 BROWARD COUNTY COMMISSION DEPUTY CLERK 1921 #2, 8 Pages

RESOLUTION NO. 04-DPV-101a

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF HOLLYWOOD DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD APPROVING THE REQUEST FOR FOUR VARIANCES, DESIGN REVIEW AND SITE PLAN APPROVAL FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF THIRTY-FOUR TOWNHOMES TO BE LOCATED AT 1840 NORTH 27 COURT, MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED IN EXHIBIT "A", PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE CITY OF HOLLYWOOD ZONING AND LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS.

WHEREAS, the Development Review Board (the "Board") is charged with, among other things, the responsibility of considering requests for variances, design review and site plan approval; and

WHEREAS, the Board is duly empowered to grant variances and design review approval in accordance with the guidelines and procedures found in Section 5.3 of the City's Zoning and Land Development Regulations and site plan approval pursuant to Chapter 162, Hollywood Municipal Code of Ordinances, and Section 5.3 of the Zoning and Land Development Regulations; and

WHEREAS, Lago Hollywood, LLC (the "Applicant"), in File Number 04-DPV-101, applied for four variances, design review approval and site plan approval for the construction of thirty-four townhomes to be located at 1840 North 27th Court, more particularly described in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference; and

WHEREAS, the Development Review Board reviews and considers all applications/petitions for variances, design review and site plan approval, excluding certain exceptions not applicable herein; and

WHEREAS, the Board met and held an advertised public hearing to consider the Applicant's request for four variances, design review and site plan approval for the construction of thirty-four new townhomes on December 13, 2006; and

WHEREAS, the Board granted the Applicant's requests as stated in Board Resolution Number 04-DPV-101; and

WHEREAS, Section 5.3(F)(3)(b) of the Zoning Code provides that when the Board has granted a variance, such grant shall become null and void unless the appropriate building or other permit or license is applied for within eighteen months of the date of such decision by the Board; and

1

Return to: Office of Planning City of Hollywood 2600 Hollywood Boulevard Room 315 Hollywood, FL 33022-9045



WHEREAS, eighteen months from the date of the Board's decision has passed and the Applicant has failed to apply for a building or other permit or license, rendering the Board's variance approvals null and void; and

, **x**

WHEREAS, Section 5.5(I)(9)(c) of the Zoning Code provides that an applicant shall have up to eighteen months from the date of design review approval to obtain all necessary building permits required to proceed with construction and should the Applicant fail to obtain said building permit(s) within the time period, all staff and Board approvals shall be null and void and the Applicant shall re-initiate the Design Review process; and

WHEREAS, eighteen months from the date of the Board's decision has passed and the Applicant has failed to obtain all necessary building permits, rending the Board's design review approval null and void; and

WHEREAS, Section 162.08 of the Code of Ordinances, provides that upon approval of a site plan, an Applicant shall have up to eighteen months to apply for a valid construction permit from the Building Department and should the Applicant fail to submit a valid application for a construction permit within said period, all previous approvals shall be null and void and said Applicant shall be required to reinitiate the technical review and development review process; and

WHEREAS, eighteen months from the date of the Board's decision has passed and the Applicant has failed to apply for a valid construction permit from the Building Department, rendering the Board's site plan approval is null and void; and

WHEREAS, the Board met and held an advertised public hearing to consider the Applicant's request for four variances, design review and site plan approval for the construction of thirty-four new townhomes on October 16, 2008; and

WHEREAS, the Board reviewed the application for four variances, design review and site plan approval for the construction of thirty-four new townhomes, reviewed the evidence submitted and testimony received at the public hearing, and the Board applied the criteria for granting the variances, design review and site plan approval as set forth in the City of Hollywood Zoning and Land Development Regulations at Section 5.3, and made the following findings:

1. As to the variance to waive the required maximum 30 foot building height for buildings A, B and D to allow a building height of 38 feet, the Board makes the following findings based on the criteria listed in Section 5.3 F of the Zoning and Land Development Regulations:

a) That the requested variance maintains the basic intent and purpose of the subject regulations, particularly as it affects the stability and appearance of the City.

- b) That the requested variance is otherwise compatible with the surrounding land uses and would not be detrimental to the community.
- c) That the requested variance is consistent with and in furtherance of the Goals, Objectives and Policies of the adopted Comprehensive Plan, as amended from time to time, the applicable Neighborhood Plan and all other similar plans adopted by the City.
- d) That the requested variance is the minimum variance reasonably necessary.

2. As to the variance to waive the maximum wall/fence height of 4 feet within the front (southern) yard to allow a wall/fence height of 6 feet, the Board makes the following findings based on the criteria listed in Section 5.3 F of the Zoning and Land Development Regulations:

- a) That the requested variance maintains the basic intent and purpose of the subject regulations, particularly as it affects the stability and appearance of the City.
- b) That the requested variance is otherwise compatible with the surrounding land uses and would not be detrimental to the community.
- c) That the requested variance is consistent with and in furtherance of the Goals, Objectives and Policies of the adopted Comprehensive Plan, as amended from time to time, the applicable Neighborhood Plan and all other similar plans adopted by the City.
- d) That the requested variance is the minimum variance reasonably necessary.

3. As to the variance to waive the required rear yard setback to provide a minimum of 8 feet where otherwise a maximum of 40 feet would be required for Buildings B, C, D, and E, the Board makes the following findings based on the criteria listed in Section 5.3 F of the Zoning and Land Development Regulations:

- a) That the requested variance maintains the basic intent and purpose of the subject regulations, particularly as it affects the stability and appearance of the City.
- b) That the requested variance is otherwise compatible with the surrounding land uses and would not be detrimental to the community.

- c) That the requested variance is consistent with and in furtherance of the Goals, Objectives and Policies of the adopted Comprehensive Plan, as amended from time to time, the applicable Neighborhood Plan and all other similar plans adopted by the City.
- d) That the requested variance is the minimum variance reasonably necessary.

4. As to the variance to waive the required sum of the side yard setback to provide a minimum of 36 feet where otherwise a minimum of 50 feet would be required for Building D, the Board makes the following findings based on the criteria listed in Section 5.3 F of the Zoning and Land Development Regulations:

, ,, , **;**

- a) That the requested variance maintains the basic intent and purpose of the subject regulations, particularly as it affects the stability and appearance of the City.
- b) That the requested variance is otherwise compatible with the surrounding land uses and would not be detrimental to the community.
- c) That the requested variance is consistent with and in furtherance of the Goals, Objectives and Policies of the adopted Comprehensive Plan, as amended from time to time, the applicable Neighborhood Plan and all other similar plans adopted by the City.
- d) That the requested variance is the minimum variance reasonably necessary.

5. As to the design review approval, the Board makes the following findings based on the criteria set forth in Section 5.3 I. 6. of the Zoning and Land Development Regulations:

- The design of all existing and proposed buildings, drives, parking spaces, walkways, means of ingress and egress, landscaping structures, signs and lighting and screening devices is consistent with the City's Design Review Manual.
- b) The dimensions of all buildings, structures, setbacks, parking spaces, height, lot coverage and any other information is consistent with the City's Design Review Manual.
- c) The color, design, selection of landscape materials and architectural elements of exterior building surfaces are consistent with the City's Design Review Manual.

 d) The design of the proposed development indicates a sensitivity to and is compatible with the natural environment. Particular attention must be paid to conserving clusters or groupings of naturally occurring trees and vegetation.

×.,

· · · · ·

- e) The design and layout of the site provide an efficient arrangement of buildings. Particular attention shall be given to safety, crime prevention, pedestrian sight lines and view corridors.
- f) The design of pedestrian improvements within the site ensure that all parking spaces are conveniently arranged in terms of their relationship to the proposed structure, entrances, and exits.
- g) The design of all lighting fixtures ensures safe movement of persons, vehicles and reflection on public property for security purposes and minimizes glare and reflection of adjacent properties.
- Landscape and paving materials ensure that a complimentary relationship with the overall project will result from these improvements.
- Buffering materials ensure that headlights of vehicles, noise, and light from structures are adequately shielded from public view and pedestrian areas.
- j) The overall project complies with the architectural design standards listed in duly adopted Neighborhood Plans that apply to or affect the subject property or in the Comprehensive Plan should they exist.
- k) The design reduces crime and the fear of crime through the use of Crime Prevention through Environmental Design Guidelines and Strategies.

6. As to the site plan approval, the Board finds that the site plan for the construction of thirty-four townhomes as submitted by the Applicant and reviewed by the Board, is substantially compliant with the site plan regulations as set forth in Chapter 162 of the Code of Ordinances, as well as all other matters associated with the approval of site plans;

(DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD RESOLUTION NO. 04-DPV-101a)

 $c_{\rm r}$

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD OF THE CITY OF HOLLYWOOD, FLORIDA:

Section 1: That following review of the Staff Summary Report, the Applicant's application and supporting documents and materials, all submitted written and oral testimony received during the public hearing from all parties and speakers, and the consideration of the criteria listed herein for granting variances, the variance to waive the required maximum 30 foot building height for buildings A, B and D to allow a building height of 38 feet is hereby granted.

Section 2: That following review of the Staff Summary Report, the Applicant's application and supporting documents and materials, all submitted written and oral testimony received during the public hearing from all parties and speakers, and the consideration of the criteria listed herein for granting variances, the variance to waive the maximum wall/fence height of 4 feet within the front (southern) yard to allow a wall/fence height of 6 feet is hereby granted.

<u>Section 3:</u> That following review of the Staff Summary Report, the Applicant's application and supporting documents and materials, all submitted written and oral testimony received during the public hearing from all parties and speakers, and the consideration of the criteria listed herein for granting variances, the variance to waive the required rear yard setback to provide a minimum of 8 feet where otherwise a maximum of 40 feet would be required for Buildings B, C, D, and E, is hereby granted.

<u>Section 4:</u> That following review of the Staff Summary Report, the Applicant's application and supporting documents and materials, all submitted written and oral testimony received during the public hearing from all parties and speakers, and the consideration of the criteria listed herein for granting variances, the variance to waive the required sum of the side yard setback to provide a minimum of 36 feet where otherwise a minimum of 50 feet would be required for Building D is hereby granted.

<u>Section 5:</u> That following review of the Staff Summary Report, the Applicant's application and supporting documents and materials, all submitted written and oral testimony received during the public hearing from all parties and speakers, and the consideration of the design review criteria, the design review approval is hereby granted based on the plans submitted by the Applicant and reviewed by the Board with the following condition: <u>Applicant shall work with Staff to provide a 0.5 foot candles on Taft St.</u>

Section 6: That following review of the Staff Summary Report, the Applicant's application and supporting documents and materials, all submitted written and oral testimony received during the public hearing from all parties and speakers, site plan approval for Applicant's portion of the development is hereby granted based upon the site plan submitted by the Applicant and reviewed by the Board with the following conditions: i) All amenities must receive a Certificate of Completion (C/C) and/or Certificate of

Occupancy (C/O) prior to any residential units receiving Certificate of Occupancies (C/Os).; ii) A Unity of Title, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney must be submitted prior to the issuance of any building permits and recorded in the Public Record of Broward County prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy (C/O); iii) Composite decks may not exceed property lines.

Section 7: That the Office of Planning is hereby directed to forward a copy of this resolution to the applicant and the owner of the property with respect to which the request was made. This Resolution granting the variances will be delivered to the Citv Clerk to be recorded in the public records of Broward County, as provided by the applicable provisions of Article 5 in the Zoning and Land Development Regulations. A copy shall be furnished to any enforcement official.

PASSED AND ADOPTED THIS 16th DAY OF OCTOBER, 2008.

RENDERED THIS 16th DAY OF OCTOBER, 2008. GARY BLOOM, BOARD CHAIR

· · · · ·

RICHARD SALTRICK, SECRETARY

APPROVED AS TO FORM & LEGALITY for the use and reliance of the Development Review Board of the City of Hollywood, Florida only.

DENISE MANOS. BOARD ATTORNEY

(DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD RESOLUTION NO. 04-DPV-101a)

LEGAL DESCRIPTION – EXHIBIT "A"

The Southwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast one-quarter (1/4) of the Northwest one-quarter (1/4) of Section 9, Township 51 South, Range 42 East, lying Easterly of the C-10 Drainage Canal, less the East 260.38 feet, less the North 175.00 feet and less the South 30.00 feet thereof for road right-of-way, also less the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point, which is the intersection of the South line of the North one-half (1/2) of Section 9, and the East right-of-way of the C-10 Drainage Canal; thence Northeasterly along said East right-of-way line of the C-10 Drainage Canal, a distance of 330.00 feet to a point; thence Easterly and parallel with the said South line of the North one-half (1/2) of Section 9, a distance of 100.00 feet; thence Southwesterly along a line parallel with the East right-of-way line of the said C-10 Drainage Canal, a distance of 330.00 feet to a point; said point being on the South line of the North one-half (1/2) of section 9, a distance of 100.00 feet; thence Southwesterly along a line parallel with the East right-of-way line of the said C-10 Drainage Canal, a distance of 330.00 feet to a point, said point being on the South line of the North one-half (1/2) of said Section 9; thence Westerly along said South line, a distance of 100.00 feet to the Point of Beginning.

TOGETHER WITH:

That part of the North 97.50 feet of the Southwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast one-quarter (1/4) of the Northwest one-quarter (1/4), lying East of C-10 Canal, less the East 260.38 feet thereof in Section 9, Township 51 South, Range 42 East.

AND

· · ·

.

That part of the South 75.00 feet of the North 175.00 feet of the Southwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast one-quarter (1/4) of the Northwest one-quarter (1/4) lying East of Canal C-10, less the East 260.38 feet thereof in Section 9, Township 51 South, Range 42 East, including an easement for private roadway over the West 20 feet of the East 260.38 feet of the Southwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast one-quarter (1/4) of the Northwest one-quarter (1/4) of said Section 9, and the South 25 feet of that part of the North 100.00 feet of the Southwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast one-quarter (1/4) lying Easterly of the C-10 Drainage Canal, less the East 260.38 feet thereof, in Section 9, Township 51 South, Range 42 East, including an easement for private roadway over the West 20 feet of the East 260.38 feet of the southwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast one-quarter (1/4) of the Northwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast one-quarter (1/4) of the Northwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast one-quarter (1/4) of the Northwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Northwest one-quarter (1/4) of the C-10 Drainage Canal, less the East 260.38 feet thereof, in Section 9, Township 51 South, Range 42 East, including an easement for private roadway over the West 20 feet of the East 260.38 feet of the southwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast one-quarter (1/4) of the Northwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast one-quarter (1/4) of the Northwest one-quarter (1/4) of said Section 9.

AND

The South 70.00 feet of the North 440.00 feet of the West 130.19 feet of the East 260.38 feet of the Southwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast one-quarter (1/4) of the North-west one-quarter (1/4) of Section 9, Township 51 South, Range 42 East.

AND

The South 69.42 feet of the North 509.42 feet of the West 130.19 feet of the East 260.38 feet of the Southwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast one-quarter (1/4) of the Northwest one-quarter (1/4) of Section 9, Township 51 South, Range 42 East.

AND

The West 67.19 feet of the East 260.38 feet of the North 130.00 feet of the South 160.00 feet of the Southwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast one-quarter (1/4) of the Northwest one-quarter (1/4) of Section 9, Township 51 South, Range 42 East. Said lands situate, lying and being in the City of Hollywood, Broward County, Florida, containing 148,529 square feet, (3.41 acres) more or less.

CFN # 109343922 OR BK 47099 Pages 1770 - 1780 RECORDED 05/21/10 09:25:19 BROWARD COUNTY COMMISSION DEPUTY CLERK 1037 #2, 11 Pages

RESOLUTION NO. 04-DPV-101b

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF HOLLYWOOD DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD GRANTING A 24 MONTH EXTENSION FOR FOUR (4) VARIANCES, DESIGN REVIEW AND SITE PLAN PREVIOUSLY APPROVED BY RESOLUTION 04-DPV-101b FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF 34 TOWNHOMES TO BE LOCATED AT 1840 N. 27TH COURT, PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE CITY'S ZONING AND LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS.

WHEREAS, the Development Review Board (the "Board") is charged with, among other things, the responsibility of considering requests for variances, design review and site plan approval; and

WHEREAS, the Board is duly empowered to grant variances and design review approval in accordance with the guidelines and procedures found in Section 5.3 of the City's Zoning and Land Development Regulations and site plan approval pursuant to Chapter 162, Hollywood Municipal Code of Ordinances; and

WHEREAS, Lago Hollywood, LLC (the "Applicant"), in File Number 04-DPV-101a, applied for four variances regarding setbacks and height, design review approval and site plan approval for the construction of 34 townhomes located at 1840 N. 27th Court, and

WHEREAS, on October 16, 2008, the Board re-approved the Applicant's request for four (4) variances, design review, and site plan approval pursuant to Resolution No. 04-DPV-101a attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit "A"; and

WHEREAS, the Board reviews and considers all applications/petitions for variances, design review and site plan approval, including extensions thereto; and

WHEREAS, the Applicant, in File Number P&DS 10-12, has applied for a 24 month extension to the previously granted variances, design review and site plan approval as its initial approvals will expire on October 16, 2010 and they have not applied for any building permits due to financing issues and the overall economic conditions that presently exist; and

WHEREAS, on May 13, 2010, the Board met and held a public hearing to consider the Applicant's request; and

1

Return to: Office of Planning City of Hollywood 2600 Hollywood Boulevard Room 315 Hollywood, FL 33022-9045

WHEREAS, the Board reviewed the application for extensions of the four (4) variances, design review and site plan approval, reviewed the evidence submitted and testimony received at the public hearing, and the Board applied the criteria for granting extensions to variances, design review and site plan approval as set forth in the City of Hollywood Zoning and Land Development Regulations at Section 5.3 and Chapter 162 of the Hollywood Code of Ordinances, and made the following findings:

· . . .

1. As to the Applicant's request for an extension of twenty-four (24) months for the four (4) variances previously approved in Resolution 04-DPV-101a, the Board found, based on the criteria listed in Section 5.3 F.3.b of the Zoning and Land Development Regulation, that there has not been a significant change in the circumstances influencing the original approval; and

2. As to the Applicant's request for an extension of twenty-four (24) months for the design review previously approved in Resolution 04-DPV-101a, the Board reviewed the Applicant's request and based on the extension criteria in Section 5.3.I.8.b. of the Zoning and Land Development Regulations, the Board found that cause existed to extend the design review approval; and

3. As to the Applicant's request for an extension of twenty-four months for the site plan previously approved in Resolution 04-DPV-101a, the Board reviewed the Applicant's request and based upon the extension criteria set forth in Section 162.08 of Hollywood's Code of Ordinances, the Board found that the request for extension was filed prior to the expiration of the 24 month period to apply for a valid construction permit and no such permit has been applied for by the Applicant.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD OF THE CITY OF HOLLYWOOD, FLORIDA:

Section 1: That following review of the Staff Summary Report, the Applicant's application and supporting documents and materials, all submitted written and oral testimony received during the public hearing from all parties and speakers, the extension of the four (4) variances, design review and site plan previously approved in Resolution No. 04-DPV-101a attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit "A", are hereby extended for a period of twenty-four (24) months, however, if the Applicant fails to apply for the appropriate building permit, construction permit or other permit or license within said 24 month period, all previous staff and Board approvals regarding the four (4) variances, design review and site plan approval shall be null and void and the Applicant shall be required to re-apply for any and all necessary approvals and reinitiate the technical review and development review process.

RESOLUTION 04-DPV-101b Lago Hollywood, LLC 24 month extension of approvals.

Section 2: That the Department of Planning and Development Services is hereby directed to forward a copy of this resolution to the Applicant and the owner of the property with respect to which the request was made. This Resolution granting the extension of the four (4) variances, design review and site plan shall be delivered to the City Clerk to be recorded in the Public Records of Broward County, as provided by the applicable provisions of Article 5 in the Zoning and Land Development Regulations. A copy shall be furnished to any enforcement official.

PASSED AND ADOPTED THIS 13th DAY OF MAY, 2010.

RENDERED THIS 17th DAY OF MAY, 2010.

ATTEST:

Vice-Chair

APPROVED AS TO FORM & LEGALITY for the use and reliance of the Development Review Board of the City of Hollywood, Florida only.

DEBRA-ANN REESE, BOARD ATTORNEY



CITY of HOLLYWOOD, FLORIDA

Planning and Development Services 2600 Hollywood Blvd. • Room 315 • P.O. Box 229045 • Hollywood, Florida 33022-9045 Phone (954) 921-3471 • Fax (954) 921-3347 • www.hollywoodfl.org

Jaye Epstein Director

August 6, 2012

LTR 12-050

Allen Simmens Barry Lethbridge Lago Hollywood, LLC 100 South Pine Island Rd. # 202 Plantation, Florida 33324

Subject: Extension Request for Resolution 04-DPV-101b (Lago Hollywood -1840 North 27th Court)

Dear Mr. Simmens and Mr. Lethbridge:

We are in receipt of your development order/building permit extension request filed pursuant to House Bill 503, Section 24 Law of Florida. The proposed 34 townhome development (Lago Hollywood) at 1840 North 27th Court, approved by Resolution 04-DPV-101b by the Planning and Development Board, is hereby granted a two (2) year extension in accordance with Section 24 of House Bill 503. Therefore, the new expiration date for this project which extends the four Variances, Design and Site Plan approved by the Planning and Development Board is May 17, 2014. All other applicable provisions of the City of Hollywood Zoning and Land Development Regulations and Code of Ordinances continue to apply.

If you have further questions regarding this matter, you may contact the Department of Planning and Development Services at (954) 921-3471.

Sincerely,

Jaye M. Epstein, AICP Director

City Attorney File 04-DPV-101b

C

Our Mission: We are dedicated to providing municipal services for our diverse community in an atmosphere of cooperation, courtesy and respect. We do this by ensuring all who live, work and play in the City of Hollywood enjoy a high quality of life,

ATTACHMENT C Land Use and Zoning Map



315 File: H YGIS Maps/PDFs/Zoning Letters/ 1480 N 27 Court 2/5/14

ATTACHMENT II Planning and Development Board Minutes

SUMMARY OF MINUTES PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT BOARD **CITY HALL, ROOM 219 FEBRUARY 13, 2014** 6:04 PM

ADMINISTRATION Α.

1. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance was said in unison.

2. ROLL CALL

The regular meeting of the Planning and Development Board was called to order by Mr. John Passalacqua on Thursday, January 16, 2014 at 6:04 pm in Room 219, 2600 Hollywood Blvd., Hollywood, Florida, with the following members present:

Lise Bazinet	Present
Kristin Herman-Druck	Present
Joshua Levy	Present
Jamie Mardis	Present

John Passalacqua Scott Brian Roberts Shannon Stough

Present Present Present

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES STAFF

Jaye M. Epstein, AICP, Director Andria Wingett, Assistant Director Leslie A. Del Monte, Planning Manager Crystal Torres, Planning and Development Services Administrator Arceli Redila, Associate Planner Karina da Luz, Associate Planner Yimey Bickford, Administrative Assistant II

ALSO PRESENT

Jeff Sheffel, City Attorney Richard Doody, Staff Attorney Laura Borgesi, Traffic Engineer Davon Barbour, Director, Community and Economic Development

3. MINUTES OF THE JANUARY 16, 2014 MEETING

MOTION WAS MADE BY MR. STOUGH AND SECONDED BY MR. LEVY TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE DECEMBER 19, 2013 MEETING WITH CORRECTIONS. MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

4. ELECTION OF BOARD SECRETARY MR. JOSHUA LEVY WAS ELECTED SECRETARY.

5. Additions, Deletions, Withdrawals and Continuances

The Planning and Development Services Department is requesting item 14-V-04 be continued to the next Planning and Development Board meeting. MOTION WAS MADE BY MR. STOUGH AND SECONDED BY MS. BAZINET TO CONTINUE ITEM 14-V-04 TO THE NEXT PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT BOARD MEETING. MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

6. <u>REVIEW OF PROJECTS BEFORE THE TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE</u> Ms. Andria Wingett informed the Board of the projects before the Technical Advisory Committee.

B. HIGHLIGHTS OF PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES' ACTIVITIES

None.

C. PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES' APPLICATION

1. FILE NO: 14-T-01

APPLICANT:	City of Hollywood/Community Redevelopment Agency
LOCATION:	Community Redevelopment Agency boundaries
REQUEST:	The Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA) and the City of
	Hollywood request a Text Amendment to the Zoning and Land
	Development Regulations to expand the geographic boundary(s)
	of the Downtown CRA's mural program.

Mr. John Passalacqua read the application.

Ms. Karina da Luz made a PowerPoint presentation.

Mr. Jorge Camejo, Executive Director, Community Redevelopment Agency, spoke and answered questions from the Board.

Mr. John Passalacqua asked if there was anyone in favor or opposition of the item. There was none.

Mr. Passalacqua closed the public hearing.

Board discussion ensued.

MOTION WAS MADE BY MR. LEVY AND SECONDED BY MS. BAZINET TO FORWARD A RECOMMENDATION OF APPROVAL TO THE CITY COMMISSION. MOTION PASSED 6-1 BY VOICE VOTE. Ms. Bazinet – Yes Ms. Herman-Druck - Yes Mr. Levy – Yes Mr. Mardis – No Mr. Roberts – Yes Mr. Stough - Yes Mr. Passalacqua – Yes

FILE NO: 13-DPV-102
 APPLICANT: IJ Sunshine 2, LLC
 LOCATION: 1308 South Federal Highway
 REQUEST: Special Exception to expand an existing school to include grades K-5 (Sunshine State Academy).

Mr. Joshua Levy read the application.

Both the Applicant and staff waived quasi-judicial proceedings.

Ms. Crystal Torres made a PowerPoint presentation.

Ms. Crystal Torres answered questions from the Board.

Mr. Alan Koslow, representing the Applicant, spoke and answered questions from the Board.

Mr. Joseph Kaller, representing the Applicant, spoke and answered questions from the Board.

Mr. Adam Kerr, representing the Applicant, spoke and answered questions from the Board.

Ms. Julia Yaremchuk, Applicant, spoke briefly and answered questions from the Board.

Ms. Laura Borgesi, Traffic Engineer, City of Hollywood, spoke briefly and answered questions from the Board.

Mr. John Passalacqua asked if there was anyone in favor or opposition of the item. There was none.

Mr. John Passalacqua asked if there was anyone in favor or opposition of the item.

In favor of item	Opposed to item	Neither for nor against the item
	Aron Walewitsch	
	Nancy Fowler	

Mr. Passalacqua closed the public hearing.

Board discussion ensued.

MOTION WAS MADE BY MR. MARDIS AND SECONDED BY MR. STOUGH TO APPROVE THE SPECIAL EXCEPTION WITH STAFF'S CONDITIONS. MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY BY VOICE VOTE.

3.	FILE NO:	04-DPV-101c
	APPLICANT:	Lago Hollywood, LLC
	LOCATION:	1840 North 27 Court
	REQUEST:	Design modifications for a previously approved project including
		34 townhomes (Hollywood Landings Townhomes).

Mr. John Passalacqua read the application.

Both the Applicant and staff waived quasi-judicial proceedings.

Ms. Karina da Luz made a PowerPoint presentation.

Mr. Joseph Kaller, representing the Applicant, spoke and answered questions from the Board.

Mr. John Passalacqua asked if there was anyone in favor or opposition of the item.

In favor of item	Opposed to item	Neither for nor against the item
	Cliff Germano	
	Sonia Martinez	
	Nancy Fowler	
	Pete Brewer	

Mr. Passalacqua closed the public hearing.

Board discussion ensued.

MOTION WAS MADE BY MS. BAZINET AND SECONDED BY MR. LEVY TO APPROVE THE DESIGN MODIFICATIONS. MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY BY ROLL CALL VOTE.

FILE NO: 13-DPV-90
 APPLICANT: Saragovia Developers, LLC
 LOCATION: 5647 Hollywood Boulevard
 REQUEST: Design, and Site Plan for an approximate 6,000 sq. ft. commercial building (Shops at 57th Avenue).

Mr. Scott Roberts read the application.

Both the Applicant and staff waived quasi-judicial proceedings.

Ms. Crystal Torres made a PowerPoint presentation.

Mr. Moe Azar, representing the Applicant, spoke and answered questions from the Board.

Mr. John Passalacqua asked if there was anyone in favor or opposition of the item.

In favor of item	Opposed to item	Neither for nor against the item
		Jean Morford

Mr. Passalacqua closed the public hearing.

Board discussion ensued.

MOTION WAS MADE BY MS. HERMAN-DRUCK AND SECONDED BY MR. STOUGH TO APPROVE THE DESIGN AND SITE PLAN WITH STAFF'S CONDITION AND WITH THE CONDITION TO REPLACE THE BOX LIGHTS WITH A MORE DECORATIVE LIGHT FIXTURE THAT COMPLIMENTS THE HOLLYWOOD BOULEVARD LIGHT FIXTURES. MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY BY ROLL CALL VOTE.

5.	FILE NO:	13-F-103	
	APPLICANT:	4111 South Ocean Drive, LLC	
	LOCATION:	4111 South Ocean Drive	
	REQUEST:		

Ms. Kristin Herman-Druck read the application.

Ms. Andria Wingett made a PowerPoint presentation.

Ms. Andria Wingett answered questions from the Board.

Mr. Alan Koslow, representing the Applicant, spoke briefly.

Mr. John Passalacqua asked if there was anyone in favor or opposition of the item.

In favor of item	Opposed to item	Neither for nor against the item
Anne Hotte Victor Green	Allan Levine	

Mr. Passalacqua closed the public hearing.

Board discussion ensued.

MOTION WAS MADE BY MR. LEVY AND SECONDED BY MS. HERMAN-DRUCK TO FORWARD A RECOMMENDATION OF APPROVAL TO THE CITY COMMISSION WITH STAFF'S CONDITIONS. MOTION PASSED 6-1 BY ROLL CALL VOTE.

- Ms. Bazinet No Ms. Herman-Druck - Yes Mr. Levy – Yes Mr. Mardis – Yes Mr. Roberts – Yes Mr. Stough - Yes Mr. Passalacqua – Yes
- FILE NO: 13-DP-86
 APPLICANT: SVS Presidential, LLC
 LOCATION: 3599 Hollywood Boulevard
 REQUEST: Variances, Design, and Site Plan for an approximate 2,400 sq. ft. commercial building and façade improvements to an existing shopping center (Hollywood Presidential Plaza).

Mr. Shannon Stough read the application.

Both the Applicant and staff waived quasi-judicial proceedings.

Ms. Leslie A. Del Monte made a PowerPoint presentation.

Ms. Leslie A. Del Monte answered questions from the Board.

Mr. Pablo Corazzini, representing the Applicant, spoke briefly and answered questions from the Board.

Mr. John Passalacqua asked if there was anyone in favor or opposition of the item.

In favor of item	Opposed to item	Neither for nor against the item
Emmanuel Zazulak		Nancy Fowler

Mr. Passalacqua closed the public hearing.

Mr. Davon Barbour, Director, Community and Economic Development, spoke briefly.

Board discussion ensued.

MOTION WAS MADE BY MR. LEVY AND SECONDED BY MR. MARDIS TO APPROVE THE DESIGN AND SITE PLAN WITH STAFF'S CONDITIONS AND THE CONDITIONS TO REMOVE THE 2,400 SQ. FT. PROPOSED COMMERCIAL BUILDING AND TO REPLACE THE EXISTING AND ADD NEW MATCHING LIGHT FIXTURES TO MEET BUILDING CODE REQUIREMENTS. MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY BY ROLL CALL VOTE.

7.	FILE NO:	13-DJPSVZ-79
	APPLICANT:	MG3 Dixie, LLC
	LOCATION:	2025 McKinley Street
	REQUEST:	Change of Zoning designation from Low Intensity Industrial and
		Manufacturing (IM-1) to Planned Development (PD), approval of the Master Development Plan, Special Exception, Variances,
		Modifications, Design, and Site Plan for an approximate 125,000 sq. ft. Charter School (Hollywood Charter Academy, Grades 6th-12th).

Ms. Kristin Herman-Druck read the application.

Ms. Leslie A. Del Monte made a PowerPoint presentation.

Ms. Laura Borgesi, Traffic Engineer, City of Hollywood, spoke briefly and answered questions from the Board.

Mr. Keith Poliakoff, representing the applicant, made a PowerPoint presentation.

Mr. Gus Carbonell, representing the applicant, spoke and answered questions from the Board.

Mr. Jimmy Socash, representing the applicant, spoke and answered questions from the Board.

Mr. John Passalacqua asked if there was anyone in favor or opposition of the item.

In favor of item	Opposed to item	Neither for nor against the item
Nancy Fowler		Peter Hernandez

Norman Berube	Cliff Germano
	Pete Brewer

Mr. Passalacqua closed the public hearing.

Board discussion ensued.

MOTION WAS MADE BY MR. MARDIS AND SECONDED BY MS. HERMAN-DRUCK TO APPROVE THE REZONING, MASTER DEVELOPMENT PLAN, DESIGN AND SITE PLAN WITH STAFF'S CONDITIONS AND THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:

- 1. With the exception of the playfield (south parcel), fence material shall consist of black vinyl coated chain link fencing only where shielded by landscape otherwise white PVC or other decorative material shall be used.
- 2. The line of sight for the roof deck chillers will be examined and if visible the parapet height will be increased.

MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY BY ROLL CALL VOTE.

- D. OLD BUSINESS NONE.
- E. NEW BUSINESS NONE.
- F. ADJOURNMENT 10:40 P.M.

ATTACHMENT III Planning and Development Board Resolution 04-DPV-101c

CITY OF HOLLYWOOD PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT BOARD

RESOLUTION NO. 04-DPV-101c

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF HOLLYWOOD PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT BOARD CONSIDERING A REQUEST FOR MODIFICATION OF THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED DESIGN (PURSUANT TO THE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD RESOLUTIONS 04-DPV-101a AND 04-DPV-101b) FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF 34 TOWNHOMES TO BE LOCATED AT 1840 N. 27TH COURT (HOLLYWOOD LANDINGS TOWNHOMES), PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE CITY OF HOLLYWOOD ZONING AND LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS.

WHEREAS, the Planning and Development Board (the "Board") is charged with, among other things, the responsibility of considering requests for variances, design, special exceptions and site plan approval; and

WHEREAS, the Board is duly empowered to grant variances, special exceptions, and design approvals in accordance with the guidelines and procedures found in Section 5.3 of the City's Zoning and Land Development Regulations and site plan approval pursuant to Article 6 of the Zoning and Land Development Regulations; and

WHEREAS, on October 16, 2008, the Development Review Board passed and adopted Resolution No. 04-DPV-101a which approved variances, design with a condition and a site plan with a condition for the construction of 34 townhomes to be located at 1840 N. 27th Court; and

WHEREAS, on May 13, 2010, the Development Review Board passed and adopted Resolution No. 04-DPV-101b which approved a 24 month extension for the variances, design and site plan previously approved by Resolution No. 04-DPV-101a; and

WHEREAS, Lago Hollywood, LLC (the "Applicant") has applied for a modification to the previously approved Design for the construction of the 34 townhome project to be located at 1840 N. 27th Court, as more particularly described inn Exhibit "A" attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Planning and Development Services Director and Staff, following an analysis of the application and its associated documents have determined that the proposed request to modify the previously approved Design meets the criteria set forth in Section 5.3.1.4 of the Zoning and Land Development Regulations and have therefore recommended approval; and WHEREAS, on February 13, 2014 the Board met and held an advertised public hearing to consider the Applicant's request; and

WHEREAS, the Board reviewed the application and the Department of Planning and Development Services staff report and recommendations for the modification to the previously approved Design approval and considered the following criteria pursuant to Section 5.3.I.4.a. (1) through (4) of the City's Zoning and Land Development Regulations:

- The Architectural details are commensurate with the building mass. Design of the building(s) considered aesthetics and functionality, including the relationship of the pedestrian with the built environment;
- 2) Compatibility. There is an appropriate relationship between existing architectural styles and proposed construction, including how each building along the street relates to the whole and the pattern created with adjacent structures and the surrounding neighborhood. The Building contains architectural details that are characteristic of the surrounding neighborhood;
- 3) Scale/Massing. The Building is proportionate in scale, with a height which is consistent with the surrounding structures. The Building mass reflects a simple composition of basic architectural details in relation to its length, width, height, lot coverage, and setting of the structure in context with adjacent buildings. The Architectural details include, but are not limited to, banding, molding, and fenestration; and
- 4) Landscaping. The Landscaped areas contain a variety of native and other compatible plant types and forms, and are carefully integrated with existing buildings and paved areas. Existing mature trees and other significant plants on the site have been preserved.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT BOARD OF THE CITY OF HOLLYWOOD, FLORIDA:

<u>Section 1</u>: That, following review of the Staff Summary Report, the Applicant's application and supporting documents and materials, all submitted written and oral testimony received during the public hearing from all parties and speakers, and the consideration of the Design criteria set forth herein, the Board finds that the necessary criteria have been met, and the modification to the previously approved Design is hereby approved and Resolution No. 04-DPV-101 is hereby amended as it relates to the Design of the project.
<u>CITY OF HOLLYWOOD PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT BOARD RESOLUTION</u> 04-DPV-101c (HOLLYWOOD LANDINGS TOWNHOMES 1840 N. 27TH COURT.

<u>Section 2</u>: That the Applicant shall have up to 24 months from the date of this Design approval to apply for all necessary building permits required to proceed with construction. Failure to submit an application within the require time period shall render all approvals null and void.

<u>Section 3</u>: That the Department of Planning and Development Services is hereby directed to forward a copy of this resolution to the Applicant/Owner of the property with respect to which the request was made. This Resolution will be delivered to the City Clerk to be recorded in the Public Records of Broward County, as provided by the applicable provisions of Article 5 in the Zoning and Land Development Regulations. A copy shall be furnished to any enforcement official.

PASSED AND ADOPTED THIS 13th DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2014.

RENDERED THIS 5 DAY OF March, 2014.

ATTEST:

JOSHUA LEVY, Secretary

APPROVED AS TO FORM & LEGALITY for the use reliance of the Planning and Development Board of the City of Hollywood, Florida, only.

FEREY P. SHEFFEL, BOARD COUNSEL pr

Chair

LEGAL DESCRIPTION - EXHIBIT "A"

The Southwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast one-quarter (1/4) of the Northwest one-quarter (1/4) of Section 9, Township 51 South, Range 42 East, lying Easterly of the C-10 Drainage Canal, less the East 260.38 feet, less the North 175.00 feet and less the South 30.00 feet thereof for road right-of-way, also less the following described parcel:

Beginning at a point, which is the intersection of the South line of the North one-half (1/2) of Section 9, and the East right-of-way of the C-10 Drainage Canal; thence Northeasterly along said East right-of-way line of the C-10 Drainage Canal, a distance of 330.00 feet to a point; thence Easterly and parallel with the said South line of the North one-half (1/2) of Section 9, a distance of 100.00 feet; thence Southwesterly along a line parallel with the East right-of-way line of the said C-10 Drainage Canal, a distance of 330.00 feet to a point; thence Easterly and parallel with the East right-of-way line of the said C-10 Drainage Canal, a distance of 330.00 feet to a point, said point being on the South line of the North one-half (1/2) of said Section 9; thence Westerly along said South line, a distance of 100.00 feet to the Point of Beginning.

TOGETHER WITH:

That part of the North 97.50 feet of the Southwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast one-quarter (1/4) of the Northwest one-quarter (1/4), lying East of C-10 Canal, less the East 260.38 feet thereof in Section 9, Township 51 South, Range 42 East.

AND

That part of the South 75.00 feet of the North 175.00 feet of the Southwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast one-quarter (1/4) of the Northwest one-quarter (1/4) lying East of Canal C-10, less the East 260.38 feet thereof in Section 9, Township 51 South, Range 42 East, including an easement for private roadway over the West 20 feet of the East 260.38 feet of the Southwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast one-quarter (1/4) of the Northwest one-quarter (1/4) of said Section 9, and the South 25 feet of that part of the North 100.00 feet of the Southwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast one-quarter (1/4) lying Easterly of the C-10 Drainage Canal, less the East 260.38 feet thereof, in Section 9, Township 51 South, Range 42 East, including an easement for private roadway over the West 20 feet of the East 260.38 feet of the Southwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast one-quarter (1/4) of the Northwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast one-quarter (1/4) of the Northwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast one-quarter (1/4) of the Northwest one-quarter (1/4) of the South Range 42 East, including an easement for private roadway over the West 20 feet of the East 260.38 feet of the southwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast one-quarter (1/4) of the Northwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast one-quarter (1/4) of the Northwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast

AND

The South 70.00 feet of the North 440.00 feet of the West 130.19 feet of the East 260.38 feet of the Southwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast one-quarter (1/4) of the North-west one-quarter (1/4) of Section 9, Township 51 South, Range 42 East.

AND

The South 69.42 feet of the North 509.42 feet of the West 130.19 feet of the East 260.38 feet of the Southwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast one-quarter (1/4) of the Northwest one-quarter (1/4) of Section 9, Township 51 South, Range 42 East.

AND

The West 67.19 feet of the East 260.38 feet of the North 130.00 feet of the South 160.00 feet of the Southwest one-quarter (1/4) of the Southeast one-quarter (1/4) of the Northwest one-quarter (1/4) of Section 9, Township 51 South, Range 42 East. Said lands situate, lying and being in the City of Hollywood, Broward County, Florida, containing 148,529 square feet, (3.41 acres) more or less.

ATTACHMENT IV

Zoning and Land Development Regulations, City Commission Request for Review of a Board Decision (CRR)

Hollywood - Zoning and Land Development Regulations

permit or license incidental to any use of land or buildings as set forth in the resolution. If the Board denies the variance, the Board shall adopt a resolution setting forth the reasons for denial.

- b. Time limit. When either the Board has granted a Variance, the Director of the Department of Planning and Development Services has granted an administrative Variance or the City Commission has granted a Variance based upon an appeal in accordance with the regulations set forth in this Article, such grant shall become null and void unless the appropriate building or other permit or license is applied for within 24 months of the date of such decision by the Board, the Director of the Department of Planning and Development Services or, if applicable, the City Commission. However, an extension of up to 24 months may be granted in the same manner as the initial request provided an application for such extension is filed prior to the expiration of the original approval, upon a showing that there has not been a significant change in the circumstances influencing the original approval. If an extension has been granted and the applicant has not applied for the appropriate building or other permit or license, or the extension has been denied, then the applicant's Variance shall become null and void and the applicant shall be required to re-apply for any and all approvals necessary.
- 3. Appeal of the Board's decision relating to variances. Any appeal of a decision by the Historic Preservation Board for matters relating to variance determinations within the historic districts, shall be made pursuant to § 5.8 of this Article.

(Ord. O-2001-15, passed 5-16-2001; Am. Ord. O-2004-16, passed 6-16-04; Am. Ord. O-2005-06, passed 5-18-05; Am. Ord. O-2005-07, passed 5-18-05; Am. Ord. O-2006-37, passed 12-14-06; Am. Ord. O-2007-23, passed 7-17-07; Am. Ord. O-2009-39, passed 12-2-09)

§ 5.7. City Commission Request for Review of a Board Decision (CRR).

- A. If an application for a development permit is approved or denied by a Board appointed by the City Commission, the City Commission may initiate a CRR to set a hearing to review the application if it is found that the project is in an area which, due to characteristics of the project and the surrounding area, requires additional review in order to ensure that development standards and criteria have been met and to ensure that the area surrounding the development is protected from the impacts of the development. The process for a CRR is initiated in one of the following ways: (1) if three or more City Commissioners request a review during City Commission comments at a City Commission meeting, which is within 15 days of the Board's action, then a de novo hearing shall be set in accordance with subsection 5.7B. below; or (2) if three or more City Commissioners file a request for review in writing with the City Clerk within 15 days of the Board's action, then a de novo hearing shall be set in accordance with subsection 5.7 B. below.
- B. A de novo hearing for a CRR shall be set no later than the next four City Commission meetings from either the City Commission meeting date where three Commissioners requested such review or no later than the next four City Commission meetings from the date that the City Clerk receives the third Commissioners request as set forth in subsection 5.7A above. Notice of the hearing shall be given to the

Administrative Regulations

applicant and to the public by posting a sign at the subject property at least ten days before the hearing in accordance with the requirements of the original request. Review by the City Commission shall be by a de novo hearing supplemented by the record below and the same standards and criteria applicable to the development permit shall be applied. At the conclusion of the hearing, the City Commission shall take action by either approving, approving with conditions or denying the application.

- C. The time frames for setting a hearing provided herein may be extended by written request of the applicant.
- D. Appeal from a final decision of the City Commission shall be to circuit court by filing a petition for writ of certiorari.

(Ord. O-2001-15, passed 5-16-2001; Am. Ord. O-2003-38, passed 11-5-2003)

ATTACHMENT V CRR Memo Request

CITY OF HOLLYWOOD, FLORIDA INTER-OFFICE MEMORANDUM

DATE: February 26, 2014

FILE: CC-2014-40

TO: Cathy Swanson-Rivenbark, City Manager Jaye Epstein, Director of Planning

FROM: Patricia A. Cerny, City Clerk

SUBJECT: Review of a Board Decision

ISSUE:

City Commission request for review of a board decision.

EXPLANATION:

Commissioner Biederman, Commissioner Callari and Commissioner Hernandez submitted the attached copies of the "City Commission Request for Review of a Board Decision (CRR)" between February 20 and February 26, 2014 regarding the Planning & Development Board's approval for file number 04-DPV-101c regarding the Hollywood Landings Townhomes.

According to the Zoning and Land Development Regulations Section 5.6A ".... The process for a CRR is initiated in one of the following ways: 1. if three of more City Commissioners request a review during the City Commission comments at a City Commission meeting, which is within 15 days of the Board's action; or 2. if three or more City Commissioners file a request for review in writing with the City Clerk within 15 day of the Board's action.

The CRR shall be set no later than the next four City Commission meetings from either the City Commission meeting date where three Commissioners requested such review or no later than the next four City Commission meetings from the date that the City Clerk receives the third Commissioners request as set forth in Section 5.6A.

RECOMMENDATION:

Please take appropriate action according to the Zoning and Land Development Regulations Section 5.6.

CITY OF HOLLYWOOD INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM OFFICE OF THE CITY COMMISSION

DATE:	February 20, 2014	MEMO: KB-14-001
TO:	Patricia A. Cerny, MMC City Clerk	
FROM:	Kevin Biederman Commissioner, District 5	
SUBJECT:	City Commission Workshop	

ISSUE:

City Commission Request for Review of a Board Decision.

EXPLANATION:

Section 5.6.A.2 of the Zoning and Land Development Regulations of Hollywood states, "If three or more City Commissioners file a request for review in writing with the City Clerk within 15 days of the Board's action, then a de novo hearing shall be set in accordance with subsection 5.6 B."

I find that the project is in an area which, due to characteristics of the project and the surrounding area, requires additional review in order to ensure that development standards and criteria have been met and to ensure that the area surrounding the development is protected from the impacts of the development. Therefore, I request for review of the City of Hollywood Planning and Development Board's approval of File No. 04-DPV-101c for the design modifications for a previously approved project including 34 townhomes (Hollywood Landings Townhomes) at the meeting conducted on Thursday, February 13, 2014.

RECOMMENDATION:

I am requesting none of the City Commission reply directly to me regarding this memo. However, if any members of the Commission would also like to request the review of the decision of the Planning and Development Board from February 13, 2014, please do so by sending a similar memo to the City Clerk.

CC: Mayor and Commissioners City Attorney City Manager

CITY OF HOLLYWOOD INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM OFFICE OF THE CITY COMMISSION

DATE:	February 24, 2014	MEMO:	TC-14-001
то:	Patricia A. Cerny, MMC City Clerk		
FROM:	Traci Callari () Commissioner, District 3		
SUBJECT:	City Commission Workshop		

ISSUE:

City Commission Request for Review of a Board Decision.

EXPLANATION:

Section 5.6.A.2 of the Zoning and Land Development Regulations of Hollywood states, "If three or more City Commissioners file a request for review in writing with the City Clerk within 15 days of the Board's action, then a de novo hearing shall be set in accordance with subsection 5.6 B."

I find that the project is in an area which, due to characteristics of the project and the surrounding area, requires additional review in order to ensure that development standards and criteria have been met and to ensure that the area surrounding the development is protected from the impacts of the development.

RECOMMENDATION:

I request review of the City of Hollywood Planning and Development Board's approval of File No. 04-DPV-101c for the design modifications for a previously approved project including 34 townhomes (Hollywood Landings Townhomes) at the meeting conducted on Thursday, February 13, 2014.

CC: Mayor and Commissioners City Attorney City Manager

CITY OF HOLLYWOOD INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM OFFICE OF THE CITY COMMISSION

DATE:	February 25, 2014	MEMO:	PH-14-001
то:	Patricia A. Cerny, MMC City Clerk		
FROM:	Peter D. Hernandez Commissioner, District 2		
SUBJECT:	City Commission Workshop		

ISSUE:

City Commission Request for Review of a Board Decision.

EXPLANATION:

Section 5.6.A.2 of the Zoning and Land Development Regulations of Hollywood states, "If three or more City Commissioners file a request for review in writing with the City Clerk within 15 days of the Board's action, then a de novo hearing shall be set in accordance with subsection 5.6 B."

I find that the project is in an area which, due to characteristics of the project and the surrounding area, requires additional review in order to ensure that development standards and criteria have been met and to ensure that the area surrounding the development is protected from the impacts of the development. Therefore, I request for review of the City of Hollywood Planning and Development Board's approval of File No. 04-DPV-101c for the design modifications for a previously approved project including 34 townhomes (Hollywood Landings Townhomes) at the meeting conducted on Thursday, February 13, 2014.

RECOMMENDATION:

I am requesting none of the City Commission reply directly to me regarding this memo. However, if any members of the Commission would also like to request the review of the decision of the Planning and Development Board from February 13, 2014, please do so by sending a similar memo to the City Clerk.

CC: Mayor and Commissioners City Attorney City Manager

ATTACHMENT VI Supplemental Information



architecture - interiors - planning

March 31, 2014

City of Hollywood Planning & Zoning Division 2600 Hollywood Boulevard Hollywood, Florida 33020

Reference: Hollywood Landings 1840 North 27th Court Hollywood, Florida 33020

To Whom It May Concern:

The previously approved 34 Unit, Hollywood Landings Townhome Development located at 1840 North 27th Court was initially designed as a 3-Story Mediterranean Revival Style.

The new design retained the existing 34 Units with the identical Building footprints as previously approved, but reduced the height of the Buildings from three stories (38 feet) to two stories (21 feet) and changed the Architectural Style to Contemporary Modern Architecture. The reduction of the Building height, eliminates the requirement of a previously approved height variance, keeping the Design of the Townhomes more in line with the height of the adjacent residential neighborhood.

The Mediterranean Revival Style previously approved contained the architectural elements of barrel tile roof, keystone Styrofoam columns, Styrofoam roof outriggers and wrought iron railings and all of the details on the Mediterranean Style are Styrofoam appliques. In the Contemporary Modern Style, the pitched roofs have been replaced by flat roofs with varied concrete parapet wall heights, concrete eyebrows and the exterior is articulated with the use of stucco, ledge stone veneer and PVC composite wood siding, which are extremely durable for the harsh Florida climate and requires little or no maintenance.

The floor framing and roof systems proposed for the Mediterranean Design are to be wood flat trusses for the floors and angled wood trusses for the roof. The floor framing and roof systems proposed for the Contemporary Modern Design are to be an Epicore concrete system both for the floors and the roof, making it a more expensive but yet a more durable material and more able to stand up to hurricane winds much more than wood roof trusses.

Windows were proposed to be single hung in the Mediterranean design, while the Contemporary Buildings will have casement windows, which is also more expensive than single hung. Over the windows used to be canvas awnings which can discolor and tear, now the design has concrete eyebrows that will never have deterioration issues.

(954) 920-5746 phone (954) 926-2841 fax

joseph@kallerarchitects.com www.kallerarchitects.com Overall, the new design has more expensive, more durable and more hurricane resistant materials and design features.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact this office.

Sincerely, Chapter P.A. Joseph B. Kaller & A B. Kaller, AIA, LEED AP BD+C

President



DIRECT LINE: (305) 377-6229 E-Mail: gpenn@BRZoningLaw.com

VIA HAND DELIVERY

March 31, 2014

Jaye Epstein, Director Planning & Development Services City of Hollywood 2600 Hollywood Boulevard, Room 315 Hollywood, FL 33022

Re: <u>Commission Review Request for Hollywood Landings Townhomes (1840 N 27</u> <u>Court).</u>

Dear Mr. Epstein:

This firm represents RGFK Investments, LLC, which is the owner of the undeveloped property at 1840 N 27 Court in Hollywood, Florida (the "Property"). As you know, the Property has been approved for the development of a thirty-four (34) unit townhouse community since 2008 (Resolution No. 04-DPV-101a). We are writing to provide some additional context as to the posture of the Commission's review of the project.

<u>Approved Design.</u> The approved project depicted townhouses in multiple buildings, including one building backing onto the C-10 canal, and a clubhouse serving the development. Each townhouse was provided with three parking spaces, two in front of the proposed garage and room for a <u>single</u> car inside the garage. As you know, the Property is surrounded by a mix of single family and multifamily development, specifically the "Venice Isles Condominium".

<u>Board Order.</u> On February 13, 2014, the Planning and Development Board approved an application (Resolution 04-DPV-101c) by the owner seeking a change to the architectural design of the project. The original approved design was "Mediterranean Revival" in style and three stories in height. The revised design approved by the Board featured "Modern" architectural elements, such as flat roofs and concrete eyebrows, and reduced the height to two stories. Other than the changes to the façades and a reduction in the number of stories in the townhouse buildings, the Jaye Epstein, Director Planning & Development Services March 31, 2014 Page 2

February 13, 2014 Board resolution modified <u>nothing</u> of substance in the 2008 approval. The density and intensity of the development remained the same, as did, for example, the location of the proposed buildings and the number of parking spaces. The reduction in height will make the development more compatible with the surrounding area and will result in the removal of a variance from the project. We have provided renderings of the proposed design to give you, the Commission, and the public a better understanding of the change in architecture.

<u>Commission Request for Review.</u> We understand that some members of the public expressed concern about the project. Concerns that have been communicated to us include a belief that the number of parking spaces for each townhouse was proposed to be reduced and that the change in architecture would lead to less well-finished development, thereby reducing neighboring property values. As explained above, there is <u>no</u> reduction in parking spaces proposed for the development. Moreover, as explained in the attached letter from Joseph Kaller, the project's architect, the quality (and cost) of the finishes has substantially <u>increased</u> in the new design.

Thanks again for your help. We hope that this letter has helped explain the nature of the requests. If you have any questions or comments, please call me at 305-377-6229.

Graham Penn

Enclosures

cc: Andria Wingett Adolfo Guitelman Joe Kaller, AIA Elena Bondarenko, Esq.

Hollywood Landings

1840 N. 27TH COURT HOLLYWOOD, FL 33020

LEDGE STONE VENEER COLOR: HONEY CREEK







EXTERIOR WALL SCONCE STAINLESS STEEL DOWN LIGHT







