

RESOLUTION NO. R-2021-208

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF HOLLYWOOD, FLORIDA, RANKING ENGINEERING FIRMS TO PROVIDE VARIOUS ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR CITYWIDE PROJECTS; AUTHORIZING THE APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE CONTINUING SERVICE CONTRACTS WITH EACH OF THE HIGHEST RANKED FIRMS BASED ON EACH FIRM'S DISCIPLINE OVER A THREE YEAR PERIOD.

WHEREAS, it is in the best interest of the City to enter into Continuing Service Contracts with multiple engineering firms ("consultants") to provide professional engineering services that may be required to implement future Citywide projects; and

WHEREAS, services to be accomplished under these contracts will include various future unidentified projects in which the estimated individual construction cost does not exceed \$4,000,000.00 or for a study activity if the fee for each individual study does not exceed \$500,000.00; and

WHEREAS, the City has followed the procedures set forth in Section 287.055, Florida Statutes, entitled "Consultants' Competitive Negotiation Act" ("CCNA"), to obtain such services; and

WHEREAS, on March 11, 2021, the City advertised Request for Qualifications pursuant to. RFQ-4666-21-DCM ("RFQ") on BidSync for Various Engineering Services Disciplines through Continuing Services Contracts; and

WHEREAS, the following disciplines were solicited in the RFQ:

1. Coastal and Marine Engineering
2. Civil Engineering General
3. Civil Engineering Roadway
4. Traffic Engineering & Transportation Planning
5. Environmental Engineering
6. Construction Engineering Inspections
7. Geotechnical, Materials Testing, Special and Threshold Inspection Services
8. Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing Engineering
9. Structural Engineering
10. Information Technology, Access, CCTV

; and

WHEREAS, 237 consultants viewed the RFQ and 47 consultants downloaded the documents from Bidsync, and on April 22, 2021, the City received Statement of Qualifications (“SOQs”) packages from 51 consultants; and

WHEREAS, the 51 consultants that submitted SOQs are as follows:

1. AE Engineering, Inc.
2. BCC Engineering, LLC.
3. BMA Consulting Engineering, Inc.
4. Calvin Giordano & Associates, Inc.
5. Cardno, Inc.
6. Carnahan Proctor and Cross, Inc.
7. CES Consultants, Inc.
8. Chen Moore and Associates, Inc.
9. Coastal Systems International, Inc.
10. Craven Thompson & Associates Inc.
11. Cummins Cederberg, Inc.
12. DRMP, Inc.
13. DTM Tech, Inc.
14. E Sciences, Incorporated
15. EAC Consulting, Inc.
16. Engenuity Group Inc.
17. Florida Technical Consultants
18. G. M. Selby, Inc.
19. Gresham Smith
20. H2R Corp
21. HBC Engineering Company
22. Jezerinac Group, PLLC
23. Johnson Mirmiran & Thompson, Inc.
24. Keith and Associates, Inc.
25. Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
26. Lakdas/Yohalem Engineering, Inc.
27. Langan Engineering and Environmental Services, Inc.
28. Lisa S. Bernstein
29. Marlin Engineering, Inc.
30. Master Consulting Engineers, Inc.
31. Miller Legg & Associated, Inc. d/b/a Miller, Legg
32. Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.
33. NOVA Engineering & Environmental, LLC.
34. NV5, Inc.
35. Professional Service Industries, Inc.
36. R. J. Behar & Company, Inc.
37. Radise International, L.C.
38. Ribbeck Engineeirng Inc.
39. Ross & Baruzzini, Inc.
40. Rummel, Klepper & Kahl, LLP d/b/a RK&K

41. SGM Engineering, Inc.
42. Stantec Consulting Services Inc.
43. Tectonic Group International, LLC
44. Terracon Consultants, Inc.
45. The Corradino Group, Inc.
46. The Osborne Engineering Company
47. Thompson & Associates Inc.
48. Tierra South Florida Inc. d/b/a TSFGEO
49. TRC Worldwide Engineering, Inc.
50. Turrell Hall & Associates Inc
51. Universal Engineering Sciences, LLC.

; and

WHEREAS, City staff assembled a Selection Committee comprised of two Project Managers from Design and Construction Management, an Assistant City Engineer, and the Director of Information Technology; and

WHEREAS, on May 26, 2021, May 27, 2021, June 10, 2021 and June 14, 2021, members of the Selectio Committee met in four publicly advertised meetings to evaluate SOQs based on the selection criteria published in the RFQ, and determined that interviews and/or oral presentations were not necessary; and

WHEREAS, the Selection Committee ranked and qualified consultants as described below, with the highest average score dictating the highest ranked for each of the 10 Disciplines:

A. Coastal and Marine Engineering (five consultants qualified out of eight submissions for this discipline):

- | | |
|--|------------------|
| 1. Cummins Cederberg, Inc. | 98 Average Score |
| 2. Coastal Systems International, Inc. | 94 Average Score |
| 3. Stantec Consulting Services Inc. | 89 Average Score |
| 4. G. M. Selby, Inc. | 88 Average Score |
| 5. Moffatt & Nichol, Inc. | 88 Average Score |

B. Civil Engineering General (10 consultants qualified out of 19 submissions for this discipline):

- | | |
|--|------------------|
| 1. Coastal Systems International | 95 Average Score |
| 2. Kimley-Horn and Associates Inc. | 92 Average Score |
| 3. Keith and Associates Inc. | 92 Average Score |
| 4. Craven Thompson & Associates Inc. | 86 Average Score |
| 5. Calvin Giordano & Associates Inc. | 84 Average Score |
| 6. Stantec Consulting Services Inc. | 84 Average Score |
| 7. Rummel, Klepper & Kahl, LLP. d/b/a RK&K | 83 Average Score |
| 8. Chen Moore and Associates, Inc. | 83 Average Score |

- | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|
| 9. NV5, Inc. | 80 Average Score |
| 10. Engenuity Group Inc. | 80 Average Score |

C. Civil Engineering Roadway (nine consultants qualified out of 22 submissions for this discipline):

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. BCC Engineering, LLC. | 89 Average Score |
| 2. The Corradino Group, Inc. | 88 Average Score |
| 3. Marlin Engineering, Inc. | 87 Average Score |
| 4. Calvin Giordano & Associates Inc. | 87 Average Score |
| 5. Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. | 86 Average Score |
| 6. Johnson, Mirmiran & Thompson, Inc. | 86 Average Score |
| 7. R. J. Behar & Company, Inc. | 85 Average Score |
| 8. EAC Consulting, Inc. | 85 Average Score |
| 9. CES Consultants, Inc. | 84 Average Score |

D. Traffic Engineering & Transportation Planning (15 consultants qualified out of 16 submissions for this discipline):

- | | |
|---|------------------|
| 1. Carnahan Proctor and Cross, Inc. | 91 Average Score |
| 2. Marlin Engineering, Inc. | 89 Average Score |
| 3. Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. | 89 Average Score |
| 4. Calvin Giordano & Associates, Inc. | 88 Average Score |
| 5. The Corradino Group, Inc. | 87 Average Score |
| 6. Rummel, Klepper & Kahl, LLP d/b/a RK&K | 86 Average Score |
| 7. BCC Engineering, LLC. | 85 Average Score |
| 8. Johnson, Mirmiran & Thompson, Inc. | 84 Average Score |
| 9. Stantec Consulting Services Inc. | 83 Average Score |
| 10. R. J. Behar & Company, Inc. | 82 Average Score |
| 11. Keith and Associates, Inc. | 81 Average Score |
| 12. DRMP, Inc. | 81 Average Score |
| 13. HBC Engineering Company | 72 Average Score |
| 14. Moffatt & Nichol, Inc. | 72 Average Score |
| 15. Lisa S. Bernstein | 72 Average Score |

E. Environmental Engineering (seven consultants qualified out of 13 submissions for this discipline):

- | | |
|--|------------------|
| 1. Terracon Consultants, Inc. | 93 Average Score |
| 2. Radise International, L.C. | 92 Average Score |
| 3. Professional Service Industries, Inc. | 90 Average Score |
| 4. E Sciences, Incorporated | 90 Average Score |
| 5. Universal Engineering Sciences, LLC. | 89 Average Score |
| 6. NOVA Engineering & Environmental, LLC. | 89 Average Score |
| 7. Langan Engineering and Environmental Services, Inc. | 86 Average Score |

F. Construction Engineering Inspections (nine consultants qualified out of 19 submissions for this discipline):

1. Carnahan Proctor and Cross, Inc.	93 Average Score
2. AE Engineering, Inc.	91 Average Score
3. Tectonic Group International, LLC.	90 Average Score
4. Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	88 Average Score
5. BCC Engineering, LLC.	87 Average Score
6. Rummel, Klepper & Kahl, LLP d/b/a RK&K	87 Average Score
7. Johnson, Mirmiran & Thompson, Inc.	82 Average Score
8. The Corradino Group, Inc.	82 Average Score
9. CES Consultants, Inc.	82 Average Score

G. Geotechnical, Materials Testing, Special and Threshold Inspection Services (eight consultants qualified out of 12 submissions for this discipline):

1. Tierra South Florida Inc. d/b/a TSFGEO	93 Average Score
2. Professional Service Industries, Inc.	91 Average Score
3. Radise International, L.C.	90 Average Score
4. NV5, Inc.	90 Average Score
5. Terracon Consultants, Inc.	88 Average Score
6. NOVA Engineering & Environmental, LLC.	87 Average Score
7. H2R Corp	87 Average Score
8. Universal Engineering Sciences, LLC.	85 Average Score

H. Mechanical, Electrical, and Plumbing Engineering (four consultants qualified out of eight submissions for this discipline):

1. SGM Engineering, Inc.	93 Average Score
2. DTM Tech, Inc.	90 Average Score
3. The Osborne Engineering Company	88 Average Score
4. Gresham Smith	81 Average Score

I. Structural Engineering (five consultants qualified out of 13 submissions for this discipline):

1. Jezerinac Group, PLLC.	92 Average Score
2. Master Consultanting Engineers, Inc	92 Average Score
3. Lakdas/Yohalem Engineering, Inc.	90 Average Score
4. Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	87 Average Score
5. TRC Worldwide Engineering, Inc.	86 Average Score

J. Information Technology, Access, CCTV (four consultants qualified out of five submissions for this discipline):

- | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. Ross & Baruzzini, Inc. | 92 Average Score |
| 2. The Osborne Engineering Company | 87 Average Score |
| 3. NV5, Inc. | 85 Average Score |
| 4. G. M. Selby Inc. | 71 Average Score |

; and

WHEREAS, consulting services for specific projects will be requested on an “as needed – when needed” basis and the initial term of each Continuing Service Contract will be for three years with the option to renew the contract for two subsequent one-year terms based on satisfactory performance and mutual agreement; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Design and Construction Management and the Chief Procurement Officer recommend that the City Commission authorize the appropriate City officials to execute the attached Continuing Service Contract with each of the highest-ranked consultants based upon their disciplines to provide engineering services as set forth above, and begin contract negotiations as Citywide projects are approved.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF HOLLYWOOD, FLORIDA:

Section 1: That the foregoing “WHEREAS” clauses are ratified and confirmed as being true and correct and are incorporated in this Resolution.

Section 2: That it approves and authorizes the appropriate City officials to execute the attached continuing services contracts with each of the highest-ranked firms based upon the disciplines, together with such non-material changes as may be subsequently agreed to by the City Manager and approved as to form and legal sufficiency by the City Attorney.

Section 3: That this Resolution shall be in full force and effect immediately upon its passage and adoption.



A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF HOLLYWOOD, FLORIDA, RANKING ENGINEERING FIRMS TO PROVIDE VARIOUS ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR CITYWIDE PROJECTS; AUTHORIZING THE APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE CONTINUING SERVICE CONTRACTS WITH EACH OF THE HIGHEST RANKED FIRMS BASED ON EACH FIRMS' DISCIPLINE OVER A THREE YEAR PERIOD.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 1 day of September, 2021.



JOSH LEVY, MAYOR

ATTEST:

PATRICIA A. CERNY, MMC
CITY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGAL SUFFICIENCY for the use and reliance of the City of Hollywood, Florida, only.



DOUGLAS R. GONZALES
CITY ATTORNEY

Dr