RESOLUTION NO. R-CRA-2019- 69

A RESOLUTION OF THE HOLLYWOOD, FLORIDA, COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT **AGENCY** ("CRA"), RANKING FIRMS SUBMITTING QUALIFICATIONS FOR RFQ BCRA 19-001 FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR PHASE IV STREETSCAPE & A1A: AUTHORIZING THE APPROPRIATE CRA OFFICIALS TO NEGOTIATE AND EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH THE HIGHEST RANKED FIRM, AND IF NEGOTIATIONS ARE NOT SUCCESSFUL, AUTHORIZING THE APPROPRIATE CRA OFFICIALS TO TERMINATE NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE HIGHEST RANKED FIRM AND TO COMMENCE NEGOTIATIONS WITH AND EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH THE NEXT HIGHEST RANKED FIRM(S), IN ORDER, UNTIL AN AGREEMENT IS REACHED.

WHEREAS, pursuant to Florida Statute 287.055, the Consultants' Competitive Negotiation Act ("CCNA"), when an agency, including a municipality, requires engineering services for a planning or study activity and the estimated fee for such services exceeds \$35,000, the agency must issue a request for qualifications ("RFQ"), review the statements of qualifications, rank the firms, and negotiate with the most qualified firm; and

WHEREAS, on October 22, 2018, the City's CRA issued RFQ BCRA 19-001 to provide professional engineering services for phase IV streetscape & A1A, and six statements of qualification were received; and

WHEREAS, as part of the process to determine qualifications, an evaluation committee consisting of three professional in-house staff and two qualified volunteers (see Exhibit "A") were invited to review and evaluate all six firms; and

WHEREAS, as part of the evaluation analysis, it was determined that due to the scope and complexity of the services, oral presentations were required; and

WHEREAS, upon review of the firms' statement of qualifications, the evaluation committee shortlisted four consultants who were invited to provide oral presentations (see Exhibit "A"); and

WHEREAS, on January 17, 2019, oral presentations were conducted and upon conclusion of the presentations, the evaluation committee ranked each firm as shown on the attached Exhibit "A"; and

WHEREAS, on January 22, 2019, final scores computing the average of both the statement of qualification scores and the oral presentation scores yielded results that ranked the firms in the following order:

TOTAL FINAL TALLY				
Ranking	Firm	Score		
1	Kimley Horn & Associates	91.8		
2 - 4	Bermello Ajamil and Partners	87.8		
3	Keith and Schnars	80.6		
4	DRPM	74/6		

; and

WHEREAS, the Executive Director of the CRA recommends that the CRA Board approve the rankings of the evaluation committee.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE HOLLYWOOD, FLORIDA COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY:

Section 1: That the forgoing "WHEREAS" clauses are ratified and confirmed as being true and correct and are incorporated in this Resolution.

Section 2: That it approves the ranking as recommended by the evaluation committee and the Executive Director.

<u>Section 3</u>: That the appropriate CRA officials are authorized to commence contract negotiations with Kimley Horn and Associates, Inc.

Section 4: That it approves and authorizes the execution, by the appropriate CRA officials, of an agreement embodying the terms and conditions approved by the CRA with Kimley Horn and Associates, Inc., in a form acceptable to the Executive Director and approved as to form and legal sufficiency by General Counsel.

Section 5: That if the appropriate CRA officials are unable to reach an agreement with the highest ranked firm, they are authorized to terminate negotations with that firm and commence contract negotiations with the next highest ranked firm, and to continue negotiations with one firm at a time, in order of ranking, until an agreement is reached.

Section 6: That this Resolution shall be in full force and effect immediately upon its passage and adoption.

RESOLUTION OF THE HOLLYWOOD, FLORIDA, COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY ("CRA"), **FIRMS** RANKING SUBMITTING QUALIFICATIONS FOR RFQ CRA 19-001 FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR PHASE IV STREETSCAPE & A1A; AUTHORIZING THE APPROPRIATE CRA OFFICIALS TO NEGOTIATE AND EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH THE HIGHEST RANKED FIRM, AND IF NEGOTIATIONS ARE NOT SUCCESSFUL, AUTHORIZING THE APPROPRIATE CRA OFFICIALS TERMINATE NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE HIGHEST RANKED FIRM AND TO COMMENCE NEGOTIATIONS WITH AND EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH THE NEXT HIGHEST RANKED FIRM(S), IN ORDER, UNTIL AN AGREEMENT IS REACHED

PASSED AND ADOPTED th	is the day of February, 2019.
ATTEST:	HOLLYWOOD, FLORIDA
	COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT
11	AGENCY
Krujs	
PHYLLIS LEWIS	JOSH LEVY, CHAIR
BOARD SECRETARY	
APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGAL	
SUFFICIENCY for the use and reliance of	
the Hollywood, Florida, Community	
Redevelopment/Agency only.	
Diff	
DOUGLAS GONZALES	0 7

GENERAL COUNSEL

PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES CRA

PHASE IV STREETSCAPE & A1A BCRA 19-001

SELECTION COMMITTEE			
NAME	ORGANIZATION	TITLE	
Jorge Camejo	City of Hollywood CRA	Executive Director	
Horacio Danovich	City of Pompano Beach	CIP and Innovation District Director	
Frank Di Risi	Hollywood Beach Civic Association	President	
Luis Lopez	City of Hollywood	City Engineer	
Sarita Shamah	City of Hollywood CRA	Senior Project Manager	

EVALUATION COMMITTEE STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS RANKING RESULTS

Ranking	Firm	Score
1	Kimley Horn & Associates	89.6
2	Bermello Ajamil and Partners	85.4
3	Keith and Schnars	79.4
4	DRPM	76.2

EVALUATION COMMITTEE ORAL PRESENTATIONS RANKING RESULTS

Ranking	Firm	Score
1	Kimley Horn and Associates, Inc.	94
2	Bermello Ajamil & Partners	90.2
3	Keith and Schnars, PA	81.8
4	DRPM, Inc	73

CITY OF HOLLYWOOD INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO:

Mayor and Commissioners

DATE:

January 22 2019

FROM:

Douglas R, Gonzales

City Attorney

SUBJECT:

Proposed Agreement with Kimley Horn and Associates, Inc. for Professional

Engineering Services for Phase IV Streetscape and AIA

I have discussed the above Agreement with the participating Department/Office, and the general business terms and other significant provisions which are proposed are as follows:

- 1) Department/Office involved CRA
- 2) Type of Agreement Professional services
- 3) Method of Procurement (RFP, bid, etc.) RFQ
- 4) Term of Contract
 - a) initial -3-4 yrs. (est.)
 - b) renewals (if any) -
 - c) who exercises option to renew -
- 5) Contract Amount To be negotiated
- 6) Termination rights City can terminate without cause.
- 7) Indemnity/Insurance Requirements Vendor will indemnify City and provide insurance.
- 8) Scope of Services Vendor will provide professional engineering services for Phase IV Streetscape and AIA.
- 9) City's prior experience with Vendor Yes.
- 10) Other significant provisions None.
- cc: Wazir A. Ishmael, Ph.D., City Manager