September 8, 2022

Mr. Otis J Thomas, CPPB, FCCM Senior Purchasing Agent Financial Services Department 2600 Hollywood Blvd, Suite 303 P.O Box 229045 Hollywood, Florida 33022

# Re: ON-CALL AND EMERGENCY WATER AND SEWER UTILITY REPAIR SERVICES IFB-4730-22-OT APPARENT LOW RESPONSIVE AND RESPONSIBLE BIDDERS

Dear Mr. Otis:

This letter report provides an analysis of the Department of Public Utilities (Department) review of the six bids received for the On-Call and Emergency Water and Sewer Utility Repair Services and a recommendation for award of contract.

The bid proposal and supporting information were evaluated for bidder responsiveness and the Contractors overall technical ability to perform the work proposed by the Department's Contract Documents. The evaluation consisted of the following:

1) The Department prepared a side-by side tabulation of the bids received, checked for math errors and anomalies in Unit Prices. The bidders for the project in order of overall lowest bid are:

Respondent/ Bidder	Bid Total	Ranking
Southeastern Engineering Contractor, Inc.	\$1,235,670.40	1
ComTech Engineering, Inc.	\$1,623,370.30	2
Ric-Man International, Inc.	\$1,748,870.30	3
David Mancini & Sons, Inc.	\$2,490,880.20	4
Man-Con Incorporated	\$3,674,008.00	5
Southern Underground, Industries Inc.	No Bid/Non-Respo	onsive

- 2) Completeness of the bid packages in accordance with Article 2, "Receipt and Opening of Bids", of Section 00100, "Instructions to Bidders", which requires submittal of the following completed forms, signed, sealed and/or notarized where required:
  - a. Section 00300 Proposal
  - b. Section 00301 Proposal Bid Form
  - c. Section 00410 Approved Bid Bond
  - d. Section 00420 Information Required from Bidders, including List of Subcontractors
  - e. Section 00495 Trench Safety Form

A checklist and comments pertaining to the above documents are included in the attached Bidder Evaluation Forms for the top three apparent low bidders.

3) Compliance with minimum experience qualifications in accordance with Article 10, "Qualifications and Disqualifications of Bidders", of Section 00100, "Instructions to Bidders", which states 1) that bidders must have successfully completed (or on-going) at least three (3) relevant projects demonstrating experience with water and sewer pipe emergency repair work in the last two (2) years and 2) that bidder shall provide minimum of four verifiable references for projects of similar size and complexity.

Southeastern Engineering Contractor, Inc provided a consultant/client reference list of five references and a project list of three projects demonstrating previous experience with water and sewer emergency repair work. The Department reached out to four of these references. Two references provided responses both with positive feedback and stated that they would recommend Southeastern Engineering Contractor, Inc for additional work (see attached Reference Check Forms).

ComTech Engineering, Inc did not provide a minimum of four verifiable references and list of three projects successfully completed (or on-going) demonstrating previous experience with water and sewer emergency repair work. The information provided was insufficient to perform a proper evaluation of Comtech's technical ability to perform the emergency water and sewer repair work. ComTech's Bid Package was disqualified.

Ric-Man International, Inc provided a consultant/client reference list of 10 references and a project list of 42 projects demonstration previous experience with water and sewer emergency repair work. The Department reached out to five of these references. Three references provided responses all with positive feedback and stated that they would recommend Ric-Man International, Inc. for additional work (see attached Reference Check Forms).

Based on our review and evaluation of the Bid Proposal, the Department recommends that the project is awarded to the <u>lowest responsive and responsible</u> bidders, <u>Southeastern Engineering Contractor, Inc.</u> and <u>Ric-Man International, Inc.</u> for On-Call and Emergency Water and Sewer Utility Repair Services.

Sincerely,

Monique Durand, PE

Monique Durand

**Public Utilities Consultant** 

### Enclosed

- Bid Tabulation
- Bid Evaluation Form Southeastern Engineering Contractor, Inc.
- Bid Evaluation Form ComTech Engineering, Inc.
- Bid Evaluation Form Ric-Man International, Inc.
- Southeastern Engineering, Inc. Reference Checks
- Ric-Man International, Inc. Reference Checks

## **ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION SERVICES DIVISION**

DATE: 4-Aug-22

PROJECT: ON-CALL AND EMERGENCY WATER AND SEWER

BID NUMBER: IFB-4730-22-OT

CONTRACTOR		TOTAL BID	
			RANKING
Southeastern Engineering Contractor, Inc.	\$1,235,670.40	\$1,235,670.40	1
Con Tech Engineering, Inc	\$1,623,370.30	\$1,623,370.30	2
Ric-Man International,Inc.	\$1,748,870.30	\$1,748,870.30	3
David Mancini & Sons, Inc.	\$2,490,880.20	\$2,490,880.20	4
Man-Con Incorporated	\$3,674,008.00	\$3,674,008.00	5

ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION SERVICES DIVISION

DATE: 4-Aug-22

PROJECT: ON-CALL AND EMERGENCY WATER AND SEWER UTILITY REPAIR SERVICES

3ID NUMBER: IFB-4730-22-OT

Bid Item No.	Description C		Average Price	Southeastern Engir	neering Contractor, Inc.	Con Tech E	ngineering, Inc	Ric-Man Inte	ernational,Inc.	David Man	cini & Sons, Inc.	Man-Con	Incorporated	Southern U	nderground, Ind.
				Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price
	Bid Package 1 – On-call Routine Repair Services (labor, equipment, tools, and parts)														
1	Mobilization for On-call Routine Work Order (≤\$25,000)	1 \$	9,568.00	\$ 1,540.00	\$ 1,540.00	\$ 6,300.00	\$ 6,300.00	\$ 17,000.00	\$ 17,000.00	\$ 48,000.00	\$ 48,000.00	\$ 25,000.00	\$ 25,000.00		\$ -
2	Mobilization for On-call Routine Work Order (>\$25,000 to \$100,000)	1 \$ 2	25,084.00	\$ 1,920.00	\$ 1,920.00	\$ 8,500.00	\$ 8,500.00	\$ 17,000.00	\$ 17,000.00	\$ 48,000.00	\$ 48,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00		\$ -
3	Mobilization for On-call Routine Work Order (≥\$100,000)	1 \$ ;	31,772.00	\$ 2,560.00	\$ 2,560.00	\$ 11,300.00	\$ 11,300.00	\$ 23,000.00	\$ 23,000.00	\$ 47,000.00	\$ 47,000.00	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 75,000.00		\$ -
4	Cut and Connect 4-inch WM to Existing System (5 feet MAX and up to 6 - 10 feet depth)	1 \$	5,626.00	\$ 2,430.00	\$ 2,430.00	\$ 5,600.00	\$ 5,600.00	\$ 8,600.00	\$ 8,600.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 7,500.00	\$ 7,500.00		\$ -
5	Cut and Connect 6-inch WM to Existing System (5 feet MAX and up to 6 - 10 feet depth)	1 \$	6,188.00	\$ 2,940.00	\$ 2,940.00	\$ 6,900.00	\$ 6,900.00	\$ 8,600.00	\$ 8,600.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 8,500.00	\$ 8,500.00		\$ -
6	Cut and Connect 8-inch WM to Existing System (5 feet MAX and up to 6 - 10 feet depth)	1 \$	7,068.00	\$ 3,640.00	\$ 3,640.00	\$ 7,300.00	\$ 7,300.00	\$ 9,600.00	\$ 9,600.00	\$ 5,300.00	\$ 5,300.00	\$ 9,500.00	\$ 9,500.00		\$ -
7	Cut and Connect 10 inch or 12-inch WM to Existing System (5 feet MAX and up to 6 - 10 feet depth)	1 \$	9,352.00	\$ 4,660.00	\$ 4,660.00	\$ 8,100.00	\$ 8,100.00	\$ 9,600.00	\$ 9,600.00	\$ 14,400.00	\$ 14,400.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00		\$ -
8	Cut and Connect 16-inch WM to Existing System (5 feet MAX and up to 6 – 10 feet depth)	1 \$	1,658.00	\$ 9,190.00	\$ 9,190.00	\$ 16,000.00	\$ 16,000.00	\$ 9,600.00	\$ 9,600.00	\$ 11,000.00	\$ 11,000.00	\$ 12,500.00	\$ 12,500.00		\$ -
9	Cut and Connect 20-inch WM to Existing System (5 feet MAX and up to 6 - 10 feet depth)	1 \$	4,240.00	\$ 13,600.00	\$ 13,600.00	\$ 18,000.00	\$ 18,000.00	\$ 9,600.00	\$ 9,600.00	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00		\$ -
10	Cut and Connect 24-inch WM to Existing System (5 feet MAX and up to 6 - 10 feet depth)	1 \$	7,062.00	\$ 18,210.00	\$ 18,210.00	\$ 21,000.00	\$ 21,000.00	\$ 9,600.00	\$ 9,600.00	\$ 19,000.00	\$ 19,000.00	\$ 17,500.00	\$ 17,500.00		\$ -
11	Cut and Connect 6 inch or 8-inch FM to Existing System. No Bypass. (5 feet MAX and up to 6 - 10 feet depth)	1 \$	0,214.00	\$ 6,670.00	\$ 6,670.00	\$ 7,100.00	\$ 7,100.00	\$ 8,800.00	\$ 8,800.00	\$ 19,000.00	\$ 19,000.00	\$ 9,500.00	\$ 9,500.00		\$ -
12	Cut and Connect 10 inch or 12-inch FM to Existing System. No Bypass. (5 feet MAX and up to 6 - 10 feet depth)	1 \$	1,634.00	\$ 9,470.00	\$ 9,470.00	\$ 8,100.00	\$ 8,100.00	\$ 9,600.00	\$ 9,600.00	\$ 21,000.00	\$ 21,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00		\$ -
13	Cut and Connect 16 inch or 20-inch FM to Existing System. No Bypass. (5 feet MAX and up to 6 - 10 feet depth)	1 \$	9,598.00	\$ 18,390.00	\$ 18,390.00	\$ 19,000.00	\$ 19,000.00	\$ 9,600.00	\$ 9,600.00	\$ 36,000.00	\$ 36,000.00	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00		\$ -
14	Cut and Connect 24-inch FM to Existing System. No Bypass. (5 feet MAX and up to 6 - 10 feet depth)	1 \$ 2	20,774.00	\$ 23,770.00	\$ 23,770.00	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 9,600.00	\$ 9,600.00	\$ 18,000.00	\$ 18,000.00	\$ 17,500.00	\$ 17,500.00		\$ -
15	Cut and Grout 8-inch pipe abandoned (up to 12 feet depth) (payment based on Min 100 feet)	1 \$	694.88	\$ 13.40	\$ 13.40	\$ 131.00	\$ 131.00	\$ 18.00	\$ 18.00	\$ 3,300.00	\$ 3,300.00	\$ 12.00	\$ 12.00		\$ -
16	Cut and Grout 12-inch pipe abandoned (up to 12 feet depth) (payment based on Min 100 feet)	1 \$	719.80	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00	\$ 141.00	\$ 141.00	\$ 23.00	\$ 23.00	\$ 3,400.00	\$ 3,400.00	\$ 15.00	\$ 15.00		\$ -
17	Cut and Grout 16-inch pipe abandoned (up to 12 feet depth) (payment based on Min 100 feet)	1 \$	808.64	\$ 27.20	\$ 27.20	\$ 165.00	\$ 165.00	\$ 31.00	\$ 31.00	\$ 3,800.00	\$ 3,800.00	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00		\$ -
18	Cut and Grout 24-inch pipe abandoned (up to 12 feet depth) (payment based on Min 100 feet)	1 \$	982.42	\$ 46.10	\$ 46.10	\$ 190.00	\$ 190.00	\$ 51.00	\$ 51.00	\$ 4,600.00	\$ 4,600.00	\$ 25.00	\$ 25.00		\$ -
19	Install Sanitary Service Line on Private Property by Licensed Plumber and Permitting (Min 10 LF)	1 \$	183.88	\$ 111.40	\$ 111.40	\$ 360.00	\$ 360.00	\$ 42.00	\$ 42.00	\$ 206.00	\$ 206.00	\$ 200.00	\$ 200.00		\$ -
20	Install Water Service Line on Private Property by Licensed Plumber and Permitting (Min 10 LF)	1 \$	189.10	\$ 93.50	\$ 93.50	\$ 290.00	\$ 290.00	\$ 260.00	\$ 260.00	\$ 102.00	\$ 102.00	\$ 200.00	\$ 200.00		\$ -
21	Furnish and Install Tapping Saddle and Water Service Line (1-inch) on Existing Water Main	1 \$	6,446.00	\$ 2,130.00	\$ 2,130.00	\$ 6,100.00	\$ 6,100.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00		\$ -
22	Furnish and Install Tapping Saddle and Water Service Line (2-inch) on Existing Water Main	1 \$	7,284.00	\$ 2,320.00	\$ 2,320.00	\$ 9,100.00	\$ 9,100.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00		\$ -
23	Furnish and Install Asphalt Concrete Pavement Patch (Min 7 SY)	1 \$	349.86	\$ 144.30	\$ 144.30	\$ 165.00	\$ 165.00	\$ 630.00	\$ 630.00	\$ 60.00	\$ 60.00	\$ 750.00	\$ 750.00		\$ -
24	Mill Asphalt Concrete Pavement 1 - inch Thick (Min 60 SY)	1 \$	78.76	\$ 12.80	\$ 12.80	\$ 91.00	\$ 91.00	\$ 150.00	\$ 150.00	\$ 40.00	\$ 40.00	\$ 100.00	\$ 100.00		\$ -
25	Vertical Valve Box Adjustment	1 \$	1,377.20	\$ 256.00	\$ 256.00	\$ 1,150.00	\$ 1,150.00	\$ 880.00	\$ 880.00	\$ 2,100.00	\$ 2,100.00	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 2,500.00		\$ -
26	Adjust Manhole Ring Elevation	1 \$	1,810.00	\$ 670.00	\$ 670.00	\$ 2,900.00	\$ 2,900.00	\$ 880.00	\$ 880.00	\$ 2,100.00	\$ 2,100.00	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 2,500.00		\$ -
27	Replace Concrete Curb and Gutter Type F (Min 50 LF)	1 \$	70.20	\$ 59.00	\$ 59.00	\$ 45.00	\$ 45.00	\$ 47.00	\$ 47.00	\$ 100.00	\$ 100.00	\$ 100.00	\$ 100.00		\$ -
28	Replace Reflective Pavement Marker RPM (Min 5 RPMs)	1 \$	27.86	\$ 10.30	\$ 10.30	\$ 40.00	\$ 40.00	\$ 29.00	\$ 29.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 50.00		\$ -

ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION SERVICES DIVISION

DATE: 4-Aug-22

PROJECT: ON-CALL AND EMERGENCY WATER AND SEWER UTILITY REPAIR SERVICES

3ID NUMBER: IFB-4730-22-OT

Bid Item No.	Description	Qty.	Bidders Average Unit Price	Southeastern Engin	eering Contractor, Inc.	Con Tech Er	ngineering, Inc	Ric-Man Inte	rnational,Inc.	David Mand	cini & Sons, Inc.	Man-Con	Incorporated	Southern Ur	nderground, Ind.
				Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price
29	Replace Thermoplastic Striping White or Yellow Solid 6 inch (Min 50 LF)	1	\$ 22.28	\$ 6.40	\$ 6.40	\$ 13.00	\$ 13.00	\$ 12.00	12.00	\$ 30.00	\$ 30.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 50.00		\$ -
30	Replace Thermoplastic Striping White or Yellow Solid 8 inch (Min 50 LF)	1	\$ 25.66	\$ 10.30	\$ 10.30	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	\$ 14.00	14.00	\$ 40.00	\$ 40.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 50.00		\$ -
31	Replace Thermoplastic Striping White or Yellow Solid 12 inch (Min 50 LF)	1	\$ 29.76	\$ 12.80	\$ 12.80	\$ 21.00	\$ 21.00	\$ 15.00	15.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 50.00		\$ -
32	Replace Thermoplastic Striping White or Yellow Solid 24 inch (Min 50 LF)	1	\$ 45.28	\$ 15.40	\$ 15.40	\$ 39.00	\$ 39.00	\$ 22.00	3 22.00	\$ 100.00	\$ 100.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 50.00		\$ -
33	Restoration of Asphalt Driveways (Min 10 SY)	1	\$ 204.60	\$ 101.00	\$ 101.00	\$ 55.00	\$ 55.00	\$ 67.00	67.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 750.00	\$ 750.00		\$ -
34	Restoration of Concrete Driveways (Min 10 SY)	1	\$ 196.44	\$ 115.20	\$ 115.20	\$ 125.00	\$ 125.00	\$ 92.00	92.00	\$ 150.00	\$ 150.00	\$ 500.00	\$ 500.00		\$ -
35	Furnish and Install Sod Saint Augustine (Min 50 SY)	1	\$ 21.06	\$ 10.30	\$ 10.30	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00	\$ 32.00	32.00	\$ 18.00	\$ 18.00	\$ 25.00	\$ 25.00		\$ -
36	Furnish and Install Sod Bahia (Min 50 SY)	1	\$ 20.40	\$ 9.00	\$ 9.00	\$ 20.00	\$ 20.00	\$ 30.00	30.00	\$ 18.00	\$ 18.00	\$ 25.00	\$ 25.00		\$ -
37	Replacement of 4-inch Concrete Sidewalk (Min 30 SY)	1	\$ 116.16	\$ 77.80	\$ 77.80	\$ 85.00	\$ 85.00	\$ 88.00	88.00	\$ 80.00	\$ 80.00	\$ 250.00	\$ 250.00		\$ -
38	Replacement of 6-inch Concrete Sidewalk (Min 30 SY)	1	\$ 144.02	\$ 95.10	\$ 95.10	\$ 115.00	\$ 115.00	\$ 110.00	110.00	\$ 100.00	\$ 100.00	\$ 300.00	\$ 300.00		\$ -
39	Furnish and Install FDOT Number 89 Stone (Min 5 CY)	1	\$ 161.22	\$ 99.10	\$ 99.10	\$ 35.00	\$ 35.00	\$ 68.00	68.00	\$ 504.00	\$ 504.00	\$ 100.00	\$ 100.00		\$ -
40	Furnish and Install Compacted Subgrade (Min 5 CY)	1	\$ 154.50	\$ 61.50	\$ 61.50	\$ 39.00	\$ 39.00	\$ 68.00	68.00	\$ 504.00	\$ 504.00	\$ 100.00	\$ 100.00		\$ -
41	Furnish and Install Compacted Limerock (Min 5 CY)	1	\$ 179.54	\$ 114.70	\$ 114.70	\$ 55.00	\$ 55.00	\$ 71.00 \$	71.00	\$ 557.00	\$ 557.00	\$ 100.00	\$ 100.00		\$ -
42	Maintenance of Traffic (MOT) Plans	1	\$ 449.60	\$ 448.00	\$ 448.00	\$ 400.00	\$ 400.00	\$ 500.00	500.00	\$ 400.00	\$ 400.00	\$ 500.00	\$ 500.00		\$ -
43	Traffic control - Flagman (Each)	1	\$ 215.02	\$ 23.10	\$ 23.10	\$ 95.00	\$ 95.00	\$ 870.00	870.00	\$ 37.00	\$ 37.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 50.00		\$ -
44	Traffic control - Arrow Board (Each)	1	\$ 84.12	\$ 25.60	\$ 25.60	\$ 50.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 220.00	220.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 75.00	\$ 75.00		\$ -
45	Traffic control – Barricade (Each)	1	\$ 52.66	\$ 12.80	\$ 12.80	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.50	\$ 220.00	3 220.00	\$ 25.00	\$ 25.00	\$ 5.00	\$ 5.00		\$ -
46	Traffic Cones w/Reflective Marker (Each)	1	\$ 59.65	\$ 2.60	\$ 2.60	\$ 1.65	\$ 1.65	\$ 280.00	3 280.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 4.00	\$ 4.00		\$ -
47	Advanced Warning / Detour Sign (Each)	1	\$ 32.02	\$ 2.60	\$ 2.60	\$ 3.50	\$ 3.50	\$ 110.00 \$	3 110.00	\$ 40.00	\$ 40.00	\$ 4.00	\$ 4.00		\$ -
48	Furnish and Install Trench Safety Steel Plates (Each)	1	\$ 811.60	\$ 192.00	\$ 192.00	\$ 3,100.00	\$ 3,100.00	\$ 230.00	3 230.00	\$ 36.00	\$ 36.00	\$ 500.00	\$ 500.00		\$ -
49	Flowable Fill Non-Excavatable (Min 5 CY)	1	\$ 299.20	\$ 295.00	\$ 295.00	\$ 190.00				\$ 321.00	\$ 321.00		\$ 200.00		\$ -
50	Flowable Fill Excavatable (Min 5 CY)	1	\$ 317.20	\$ 256.00	\$ 256.00	\$ 250.00	\$ 250.00	\$ 480.00 \$	\$ 480.00	\$ 400.00	\$ 400.00		\$ 200.00		\$ -
51	Dewatering Using Well Point System, Equal to 25 Well Points	1	\$ 4,692.00	\$ 2,240.00	\$ 2,240.00	\$ 1,620.00	\$ 1,620.00	\$ 6,600.00	6,600.00	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00		\$ -
	Dewatering Using Submersible Pump	1	\$ 1,509.20	\$ 704.00	\$ 704.00						,				\$ -

ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION SERVICES DIVISION

DATE: 4-Aug-22

PROJECT: ON-CALL AND EMERGENCY WATER AND SEWER UTILITY REPAIR SERVICES

3ID NUMBER: IFB-4730-22-OT

Bid Item No.	Description	Qty.	Bidders Average Unit Price	Southeastern Engin	eering Contractor, Inc.	Con Tech E	ngineering, Inc	Ric-Man Inte	rnational,Inc.	David Mand	cini & Sons, Inc.	Man-Con	Incorporated	Southern U	nderground, Ind.
				Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price
Items Below	Shall Only Be Used for On-Call Routine Work NOT Covered By Other Pay Items														
Equipment Co	ost														
53	Excavator CAT 314 or Equal	1	\$ 1,671.28	\$ 614.40	\$ 614.40	\$ 600.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 1,542.00	\$ 1,542.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00		\$ -
54	Bobcat	1	\$ 670.24	\$ 307.20	\$ 307.20	\$ 450.00	\$ 450.00	\$ 460.00	\$ 460.00	\$ 884.00	\$ 884.00	\$ 1,250.00	\$ 1,250.00		\$ -
55	Excavator / Backhoe CAT 225 or Equal	1	\$ 2,342.88	\$ 614.40	\$ 614.40	\$ 600.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 4,900.00	\$ 4,900.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00		\$ -
56	Wheel Loader CAT 926 or Equal	1	\$ 1,519.28	\$ 486.40	\$ 486.40	\$ 380.00	\$ 380.00	\$ 530.00	\$ 530.00	\$ 1,200.00	\$ 1,200.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00		\$ -
57	Backhoe Loader Combo CAT 416 or Equal	1	\$ 1,582.88	\$ 614.40	\$ 614.40	\$ 490.00	\$ 490.00	\$ 520.00	\$ 520.00	\$ 1,290.00	\$ 1,290.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00		\$ -
58	Bulldozer CAT D-3 or Equal	1	\$ 514.36	\$ 716.80	\$ 716.80	\$ 360.00	\$ 360.00	\$ 560.00	\$ 560.00	\$ 635.00	\$ 635.00	\$ 300.00	\$ 300.00		\$ -
59	Double Drum Compactor Wacker W74 or Equal	1	\$ 798.80	\$ 640.00	\$ 640.00	\$ 290.00	\$ 290.00	\$ 360.00	\$ 360.00	\$ 1,454.00	\$ 1,454.00	\$ 1,250.00	\$ 1,250.00		\$ -
60	Vibratory Plate Compactor VPR 1740 or Equal	1	\$ 502.60	\$ 128.00	\$ 128.00	\$ 190.00	\$ 190.00	\$ 310.00	\$ 310.00	\$ 635.00	\$ 635.00	\$ 1,250.00	\$ 1,250.00		\$ -
61	Asphalt Roller	1	\$ 631.20	\$ 256.00	\$ 256.00	\$ 230.00	\$ 230.00	\$ 620.00	\$ 620.00	\$ 800.00	\$ 800.00	\$ 1,250.00	\$ 1,250.00		\$ -
62	Vacuum Truck	1	\$ 4,398.00	\$ 2,690.00	\$ 2,690.00	\$ 4,100.00	\$ 4,100.00	\$ 5,100.00	\$ 5,100.00	\$ 5,100.00	\$ 5,100.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00		\$ -
63	Water Truck	1	\$ 1,906.40	\$ 832.00	\$ 832.00	\$ 4,100.00	\$ 4,100.00	\$ 1,100.00	\$ 1,100.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 2,500.00		\$ -
64	Generator with Mass Lighting	1	\$ 1,186.32	\$ 281.60	\$ 281.60	\$ 600.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 2,300.00	\$ 2,300.00	\$ 750.00	\$ 750.00		\$ -
65	Flat Bed Truck under 25,000 lbs GVW	1	\$ 646.80	\$ 640.00	\$ 640.00	\$ 330.00	\$ 330.00	\$ 470.00	\$ 470.00	\$ 544.00	\$ 544.00	\$ 1,250.00	\$ 1,250.00		\$ -
66	18 Yard Dump Truck	1	\$ 919.20	\$ 512.00	\$ 512.00	\$ 800.00	\$ 800.00	\$ 770.00	\$ 770.00	\$ 1,264.00	\$ 1,264.00	\$ 1,250.00	\$ 1,250.00		\$ -
67	1/2 Ton Pickup Truck under 10,000 lbs GVW	1	\$ 409.64	\$ 243.20	\$ 243.20	\$ 315.00	\$ 315.00	\$ 240.00	\$ 240.00	\$ 500.00	\$ 500.00	\$ 750.00	\$ 750.00		\$ -
68	Cleaning/Television Inspection Equipment	1	\$ 3,857.60	\$ 2,688.00	\$ 2,688.00	\$ 4,100.00	\$ 4,100.00	\$ 6,500.00	\$ 6,500.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00		\$ -
69	CFM Air Compressor w/hose and hammer	1	\$ 461.20	\$ 384.00	\$ 384.00	\$ 290.00	\$ 290.00	\$ 410.00	\$ 410.00	\$ 472.00	\$ 472.00	\$ 750.00	\$ 750.00		\$ -
70	6 inch x 6 inch Self Priming Silent Trash Pump	1	\$ 485.80	\$ 512.00	\$ 512.00	\$ 290.00	\$ 290.00	\$ 410.00	\$ 410.00	\$ 717.00	\$ 717.00	\$ 500.00	\$ 500.00		\$ -
71	Ride-On Sweeper	1	\$ 598.00	\$ 384.00	\$ 384.00	\$ 360.00	\$ 360.00	\$ 740.00	\$ 740.00	\$ 756.00	\$ 756.00	\$ 750.00	\$ 750.00		\$ -
72	Skid Steer Track Loader	1	\$ 697.60	\$ 384.00	\$ 384.00	\$ 515.00	\$ 515.00	\$ 450.00	\$ 450.00	\$ 889.00	\$ 889.00	\$ 1,250.00	\$ 1,250.00		\$ -
73	Trench Shoring	1	\$ 886.04	\$ 115.20	\$ 115.20	\$ 900.00	\$ 900.00	\$ 720.00	\$ 720.00	\$ 195.00	\$ 195.00	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 2,500.00		\$ -
74	Miscellaneous Small Tools	1	\$ 182.48	\$ 102.40	\$ 102.40	\$ 200.00	\$ 200.00	\$ 160.00	\$ 160.00	\$ 200.00	\$ 200.00	\$ 250.00	\$ 250.00		\$ -

ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION SERVICES DIVISION

DATE: 4-Aug-22

PROJECT: ON-CALL AND EMERGENCY WATER AND SEWER UTILITY REPAIR SERVICES

3ID NUMBER: IFB-4730-22-OT

Bid Item No.	Description	Qty.	Bidders Average Unit Price	Southeastern Engin	eering Contractor, Inc.	Con Tech E	ngineering, Inc	Ric-Man Inte	rnational,Inc.	David Man	cini & Sons, Inc.	Man-Cor	n Incorporated	Southern U	nderground, Ind.
				Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price
<u>Labor</u>															
75	Project Manager	100	\$ 183.32	\$ 57.60	\$ 5,760.00	\$ 115.00	\$ 11,500.00	\$ 110.00	\$ 11,000.00	\$ 234.00	\$ 23,400.00	\$ 400.00	\$ 40,000.00		\$ -
76	Superintendent	200	\$ 176.04	\$ 51.20	\$ 10,240.00	\$ 95.00	\$ 19,000.00	\$ 100.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 234.00	\$ 46,800.00	\$ 400.00	\$ 80,000.00		\$ -
77	Foreman	400	\$ 137.74	\$ 48.70	\$ 19,480.00	\$ 75.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 88.00	\$ 35,200.00	\$ 177.00	\$ 70,800.00	\$ 300.00	\$ 120,000.00		\$ -
78	Pipe Layer	400	\$ 79.56	\$ 30.80	\$ 12,320.00	\$ 35.00	\$ 14,000.00	\$ 58.00	\$ 23,200.00	\$ 74.00	\$ 29,600.00	\$ 200.00	\$ 80,000.00		\$ -
79	Laborer	400	\$ 57.56	\$ 21.80	\$ 8,720.00	\$ 25.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 40.00	16,000.00	\$ 61.00	\$ 24,400.00	\$ 140.00	\$ 56,000.00		\$ -
80	Operator	400	\$ 85.20	\$ 32.00	\$ 12,800.00	\$ 40.00	\$ 16,000.00	\$ 73.00	\$ 29,200.00	\$ 101.00	\$ 40,400.00	\$ 180.00	\$ 72,000.00		\$ -
81	Truck Driver	400	\$ 71.36	\$ 21.80	\$ 8,720.00	\$ 80.00	\$ 32,000.00	\$ 44.00	\$ 17,600.00	\$ 91.00	\$ 36,400.00	\$ 120.00	\$ 48,000.00		\$ -
Labor (Overti	ime)														
82	Project Manager (overtime)	25	\$ 300.40	\$ 64.00	\$ 1,600.00	\$ 175.00	\$ 4,375.00	\$ 170.00	\$ 4,250.00	\$ 493.00	\$ 12,325.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 15,000.00		\$ -
83	Superintendent (overtime)	50	\$ 290.12	\$ 57.60	\$ 2,880.00	\$ 150.00	\$ 7,500.00	\$ 150.00	7,500.00	\$ 493.00	\$ 24,650.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 30,000.00		\$ -
84	Foreman (overtime)	100	\$ 228.40	\$ 73.00	\$ 7,300.00	\$ 115.00	\$ 11,500.00	\$ 140.00	\$ 14,000.00	\$ 364.00	\$ 36,400.00	\$ 450.00	\$ 45,000.00		\$ -
85	Pipe Layer (overtime)	100	\$ 130.02	\$ 46.10	\$ 4,610.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 87.00	\$ 8,700.00	\$ 167.00	\$ 16,700.00	\$ 300.00	\$ 30,000.00		\$ -
86	Laborer (overtime)	100	\$ 96.06	\$ 33.30	\$ 3,330.00	\$ 40.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 60.00	6,000.00	\$ 137.00	\$ 13,700.00	\$ 210.00	\$ 21,000.00		\$ -
87	Operator (overtime)	100	\$ 147.34	\$ 48.70	\$ 4,870.00	\$ 80.00	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 110.00	\$ 11,000.00	\$ 228.00	\$ 22,800.00	\$ 270.00	\$ 27,000.00		\$ -
88	Truck Driver (overtime)	100	\$ 107.26	\$ 33.30	\$ 3,330.00	\$ 120.00	\$ 12,000.00	\$ 66.00	6,600.00	\$ 137.00	\$ 13,700.00	\$ 180.00	\$ 18,000.00		\$ -
Bid Package	e 2 - Items Below Shall Be Used for ALL Emergency Repair Services														
89	Expedited Mobilization (within 4 hours of request) (≤\$100,000)	1	\$ 30,635.20	\$ 2,176.00	\$ 2,176.00	\$ 26,000.00	\$ 26,000.00	\$ 25,000.00	\$ 25,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00		\$ -
90	Expedited Mobilization (within 24 hours of request) (<\$100,000)	1	\$ 26,984.00	\$ 1,920.00	\$ 1,920.00	\$ 26,000.00	\$ 26,000.00	\$ 17,000.00	\$ 17,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 40,000.00	\$ 40,000.00		\$ -
91	Expedited Mobilization (within 4 hours of request) (>\$100,000)	1	\$ 35,112.00	\$ 2,560.00	\$ 2,560.00	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 38,000.00	\$ 38,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00		\$ -
92	Expedited Mobilization (within 24 hours of request) (>\$100,000)	1	\$ 30,368.00	\$ 3,840.00	\$ 3,840.00	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 35,000.00	\$ 23,000.00	\$ 23,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 40,000.00	\$ 40,000.00		\$ -
93	Flowable Fill Non-Excavatable (Min 5 CY)	1	\$ 325.88	\$ 294.40	\$ 294.40	\$ 225.00	\$ 225.00	\$ 310.00	\$ 310.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 200.00	\$ 200.00		\$ -
94	Flowable Fill Excavatable (Min 5 CY)	1	\$ 363.20	\$ 256.00	\$ 256.00	\$ 450.00	\$ 450.00	\$ 310.00	\$ 310.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 200.00	\$ 200.00		\$ -
95	Maintenance of Traffic (MOT) Plans	1	\$ 613.60	\$ 448.00	\$ 448.00	\$ 400.00	\$ 400.00	\$ 320.00	\$ 320.00	\$ 1,400.00	\$ 1,400.00	\$ 500.00	\$ 500.00		\$ -
96	Traffic control - Flagman (Each)	1	\$ 325.62	\$ 23.10			\$ 95.00	\$ 860.00	\$ 860.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 50.00		\$ -
97	Traffic control - Arrow Board (Each)	1	\$ 43.12	\$ 25.60	\$ 25.60	\$ 50.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 25.00	\$ 25.00	\$ 40.00	\$ 40.00	\$ 75.00	\$ 75.00		\$ -
98	Traffic control - Barricade (Each)	1	\$ 6.46		\$ 12.80										\$ -
99	Traffic Cones w/Reflective Marker (Each)	1	\$ 3.15	\$ 2.60	\$ 2.60	\$ 1.65	\$ 1.65	\$ 6.30	\$ 6.30	\$ 1.20	\$ 1.20	\$ 4.00	\$ 4.00		- \$
100	Advanced Warning / Detour Sign (Each)	1	\$ 22.62	\$ 2.60			\$ 3.50	\$ 13.00	\$ 13.00				\$ 4.00		\$ -
101	Furnish and Install Steel Traffic Plates (Each)	1	\$ 790.40	\$ 192.00	\$ 192.00	\$ 3,100.00	\$ 3,100.00	\$ 130.00	\$ 130.00	\$ 30.00	\$ 30.00	\$ 500.00	\$ 500.00		- \$
102	Dewatering Using Well Point Dewatering System, Equal to 25 Well Points	1	\$ 2,872.00												\$ -

ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION SERVICES DIVISION

DATE: 4-Aug-22

PROJECT: ON-CALL AND EMERGENCY WATER AND SEWER UTILITY REPAIR SERVICES

3ID NUMBER: IFB-4730-22-OT

Bid Item No.	Description	Qty.	Bidders Average Unit Price	Southeastern Engin	eering Contractor, Inc.	Con Tech E	ngineering, Inc	Ric-Man Inte	rnational,Inc.	David Man	cini & Sons, Inc.	Man-Con	Incorporated	Southern U	nderground, Ind.
				Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price
103	Dewatering Using Submersible Pump	1	\$ 1,167.60	\$ 256.00	\$ 256.00	\$ 1,300.00	\$ 1,300.00	\$ 630.00	630.00	\$ 1,152.00	\$ 1,152.00	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 2,500.00		\$ -
Items Below	Shall Be Used ONLY for Emergency Work NOT Covered By Other Pay Items														
Equipment C	Cost														
104	Excavator CAT 314 or Equal	1	\$ 1,530.08	\$ 614.40	\$ 614.40	\$ 600.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 500.00	500.00	\$ 936.00	\$ 936.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00		\$ -
105	Bobcat	1	\$ 581.44	\$ 307.20	\$ 307.20	\$ 450.00	\$ 450.00	\$ 360.00	360.00	\$ 540.00	\$ 540.00	\$ 1,250.00	\$ 1,250.00		\$ -
106	Excavator / Backhoe CAT 225 or Equal	1	\$ 1.569.68	\$ 614.40	\$ 614.40	\$ 600.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 500.00	500.00	\$ 1,134.00	\$ 1,134.00	\$ 5.000.00	\$ 5,000,00		\$ -
107	Wheel Loader CAT 926 or Equal	1	\$ 1,399,28	\$ 486.40	\$ 486.40						·	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,		\$ -
108	Backhoe Loader Combo CAT 416 or Equal	1	\$ 1,446.88	\$ 614.40	\$ 614.40					·			\$ 5,000.00		\$ -
109	Bulldozer CAT D-3 or Equal	1	\$ 500.56	\$ 716.80	\$ 716.80	\$ 360.00					\$ 656.00		\$ 300.00		\$ -
110	Double Drum Compactor Wacker W74 or Equal	1	\$ 590.00	\$ 640.00	\$ 640.00	\$ 290.00							\$ 1,250.00		\$ -
111	Vibratory Plate Compactor VPR 1740 or Equal	1	\$ 447.60	\$ 128.00	\$ 128.00	\$ 190.00	\$ 190.00	\$ 220.00	3 220.00	\$ 450.00	\$ 450.00	\$ 1,250.00	\$ 1,250.00		\$ -
112	Asphalt Roller	1	\$ 550.60	\$ 256.00	\$ 256.00	\$ 230.00	\$ 230.00	\$ 520.00	520.00	\$ 497.00	\$ 497.00	\$ 1,250.00	\$ 1,250.00		\$ -
113	Vacuum Truck	1	\$ 4,258.00	\$ 2,690.00	\$ 2,690.00	\$ 4,100.00	\$ 4,100.00	\$ 5,000.00	5,000.00	\$ 4,500.00	\$ 4,500.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00		\$ -
114	Water Truck	1	\$ 1,799.40	\$ 832.00	\$ 832.00	\$ 4,100.00	\$ 4,100.00	\$ 960.00	960.00	\$ 605.00	\$ 605.00	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 2,500.00		\$ -
115	Generator with Mass Lighting	1	\$ 1,091.52	\$ 281.60	\$ 281.60	\$ 600.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 1,900.00	1,900.00	\$ 1,926.00	\$ 1,926.00	\$ 750.00	\$ 750.00		\$ -
116	Flat Bed Truck under 25,000 lbs GVW	1	\$ 556.00	\$ 640.00	\$ 640.00	\$ 330.00	\$ 330.00	\$ 380.00	380.00	\$ 180.00	\$ 180.00	\$ 1,250.00	\$ 1,250.00		\$ -
117	18 Yard Dump Truck	1	\$ 828.40	\$ 512.00	\$ 512.00	\$ 800.00	\$ 800.00	\$ 680.00	680.00	\$ 900.00	\$ 900.00	\$ 1,250.00	\$ 1,250.00		\$ -
118	1/2 Ton Pickup Truck under 10,000 lbs GVW	1	\$ 343.64	\$ 243.20	\$ 243.20	\$ 315.00	\$ 315.00	\$ 140.00	140.00	\$ 270.00	\$ 270.00	\$ 750.00	\$ 750.00		\$ -
119	Cleaning/Television Inspection Equipment	1	\$ 5,437.60	\$ 2,688.00	\$ 2,688.00	\$ 4,100.00	\$ 4,100.00	\$ 6,400.00	6,400.00	\$ 9,000.00	\$ 9,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00		\$ -
120	CFM Air Compressor w/hose and hammer	1	\$ 437.20	\$ 384.00	\$ 384.00	\$ 290.00	\$ 290.00	\$ 320.00	320.00	\$ 442.00	\$ 442.00	\$ 750.00	\$ 750.00		\$ -
121	6 inch x 6 inch Self Priming Silent Trash Pump	1	\$ 461.80	\$ 512.00	\$ 512.00	\$ 290.00	\$ 290.00	\$ 320.00	320.00	\$ 687.00	\$ 687.00	\$ 500.00	\$ 500.00		\$ -
122	Ride-On Sweeper	1	\$ 972.00	\$ 384.00	\$ 384.00	\$ 360.00	\$ 360.00	\$ 640.00	640.00	\$ 976.00	\$ 976.00	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 2,500.00		\$ -
123	Skid Steer Track Loader	1	\$ 1,009.80	\$ 384.00	\$ 384.00	\$ 515.00	\$ 515.00	\$ 350.00 \$	350.00	\$ 1,300.00	\$ 1,300.00	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 2,500.00		\$ -
124	Trench Shoring	1	\$ 3,929.04	\$ 115.20	\$ 115.20	\$ 900.00	\$ 900.00	\$ 130.00 \$	130.00	\$ 16,000.00	\$ 16,000.00	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 2,500.00		\$ -
125	Miscellaneous Small Tools	1	\$ 523.08	\$ 102.40	\$ 102.40	\$ 200.00	\$ 200.00	\$ 63.00	63.00	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 250.00	\$ 250.00		\$ -
<u>Labor</u>															
126	Project Manager	100	\$ 313.32	\$ 57.60	\$ 5,760.00	\$ 115.00	\$ 11,500.00	\$ 110.00	11,000.00	\$ 484.00	\$ 48,400.00	\$ 800.00	\$ 80,000.00		\$ -
127	Superintendent	200	\$ 306.04	\$ 51.20	\$ 10,240.00	\$ 95.00	\$ 19,000.00	\$ 100.00 \$	20,000.00	\$ 484.00	\$ 96,800.00	\$ 800.00	\$ 160,000.00		\$ -
128	Foreman	400	\$ 232.94	\$ 48.70	\$ 19,480.00	\$ 75.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 88.00	35,200.00	\$ 353.00	\$ 141,200.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 240,000.00		\$ -
129	Pipe Layer	400	\$ 137.36		\$ 12,320.00							Ì			\$ -
130	Laborer	400	\$ 100.16		\$ 8,720.00 \$ 13,800.00										-
131	Operator Truck Driver	400	\$ 123.40 \$ 87.16		\$ 12,800.00 \$ 8,720.00										\$ -

ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION SERVICES DIVISION

DATE: 4-Aug-22

PROJECT: ON-CALL AND EMERGENCY WATER AND SEWER UTILITY REPAIR SERVICES

3ID NUMBER: IFB-4730-22-OT

Bid Item No.	Description	Qty.	Bidders Average Unit Price	Southeastern Engin	eering Contractor, Inc.	Con Tech E	ngineering, Inc	Ric-Man Intern	national,Inc.	David Mand	cini & Sons, Inc.	Man-Cor	n Incorporated	Southern U	nderground, Ind.
				Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price	Unit Price	Extended Price
Labor (Overtin	me)														
133	Project Manager (overtime)	25	\$ 394.40	\$ 64.00	\$ 1,600.00	\$ 175.00	\$ 4,375.00	\$ 170.00 \$	4,250.00	\$ 363.00	\$ 9,075.00	\$ 1,200.00	\$ 30,000.00		\$
134	Superintendent (overtime)	50	\$ 384.12	\$ 57.60	\$ 2,880.00	\$ 150.00	\$ 7,500.00	\$ 150.00 \$	7,500.00	\$ 363.00	\$ 18,150.00	\$ 1,200.00	\$ 60,000.00		\$
135	Foreman (overtime)	100	\$ 298.60	\$ 73.00	\$ 7,300.00	\$ 115.00	\$ 11,500.00	\$ 140.00 \$	14,000.00	\$ 265.00	\$ 26,500.00	\$ 900.00	\$ 90,000.00		\$
136	Pipe Layer (overtime)	100	\$ 181.22	\$ 46.10	\$ 4,610.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 87.00 \$	8,700.00	\$ 123.00	\$ 12,300.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 60,000.00		\$
137	Laborer (overtime)	100	\$ 130.66	\$ 33.30	\$ 3,330.00	\$ 40.00	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 60.00 \$	6,000.00	\$ 100.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 420.00	\$ 42,000.00		\$
138	Operator (overtime)	100	\$ 189.14	\$ 48.70	\$ 4,870.00	\$ 80.00	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 110.00 \$	11,000.00	\$ 167.00	\$ 16,700.00	\$ 540.00	\$ 54,000.00		\$
139	Truck Driver (overtime)	100	\$ 130.86	\$ 33.30	\$ 3,330.00	\$ 120.00	\$ 12,000.00	\$ 66.00 \$	6,600.00	\$ 75.00	\$ 7,500.00	\$ 360.00	\$ 36,000.00		\$
Bid Package	3 – Reuse Pipe Repair														
140	Excavate and expose existing 24-inch reclaimed water pipe having an invert depth of approximately 5.5 feet below grade in the vicinity of 12th Avenue and S. North Lake Drive	1	\$ 32,298.00	\$ 17,490.00	\$ 17,490.00	\$ 21,000.00	\$ 21,000.00	\$ 55,000.00 \$	55,000.00	\$ 13,000.00	\$ 13,000.00	\$ 55,000.00	\$ 55,000.00		\$
141	Excavate and expose existing 24-inch reclaimed water pipe having an invert depth of approximately 7.5 feet below grade in the vicinity of 12th Avenue and Tyler Street	1	\$ 33,981.00	\$ 20,405.00	\$ 20,405.00	\$ 21,000.00	\$ 21,000.00	\$ 58,000.00 \$	58,000.00	\$ 15,500.00	\$ 15,500.00	\$ 55,000.00	\$ 55,000.00		\$
142	of approximately 8.5 feet below grade in the vicinity of 12th Avenue and Harrison Street	1	\$ 34,942.00	\$ 22,710.00	\$ 22,710.00	\$ 21,000.00	\$ 21,000.00	\$ 58,000.00 \$	58,000.00	\$ 18,000.00	\$ 18,000.00	\$ 55,000.00	\$ 55,000.00		\$
143	Excavate and expose existing 24-inch reclaimed water pipe having an invert depth of approximately 7.5 feet below grade in the vicinity of 12th Avenue and N. South Lake Drive	1	\$ 34,982.00	\$ 20,410.00	\$ 20,410.00	\$ 21,000.00	\$ 21,000.00	\$ 58,000.00 \$	58,000.00	\$ 20,500.00	\$ 20,500.00	\$ 55,000.00	\$ 55,000.00		\$
144	Install 24-inch liner end seal	1	\$ 15,436.00	\$ 13,050.00	\$ 13,050.00	\$ 29,830.00	\$ 29,830.00		4,300.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	1			•
145	Install 24-inch pressure coupling	1	\$ 10.156.00	\$ 4,740.00	\$ 4,740.00	\$ 5,740.00	\$ 5,740.00		4,300.00	\$ 21,000.00	\$ 21,000.00	1			\$
146	Install 24-inch restraint harness	1	\$ 9.192.00	\$ 2.560.00	\$ 2,560.00	\$ 7,100.00	\$ 7,100.00		4,300.00	\$ 17,000.00	\$ 17,000.00	1			•
ALLOWANCE	•		ψ 5,152.00	Ψ 2,000.00	ψ 2,000.00	Ψ 7,100.00	Ψ 7,100.00	Ψ,000.00 Ψ	4,000.00	Ψ 17,000.00	Ψ 17,000.00	Ψ 10,000.00	Ψ 10,000.00		, v
147	Permit and Fees Allowance	1	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 75,000.00 \$	75,000.00	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 75,000.00		¢
148	Testing Allowance	1	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 75,000.00		\$ 75,000.00		75,000.00		\$ 75,000.00				e
149	Material / Equipment Supply/Subcontractor Allowance	1	\$ 400.000.00	\$ 400.000.00	\$ 400,000.00		\$ 400,000.00		400,000.00		\$ 400,000.00				6
150	Owner Allowance	1	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00 \$	200.000.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00				•
151	Indemnification	1	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00	,			10.00						· ·
131	indennincation	'	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00 \$	10.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00	\$ 10.00		Φ
					\$ 1.235.670.40		\$ 1,623,370,30		1,748,870,30		\$ 2,490,880,20		\$ 3.674.008.00		•
					1,200,010.40		1,020,010.00	Ψ.			2,700,000.20		5,01-1,000.00		1 *
				Comments Summed total Bidder reported total Variance	\$ 1,235,670.40 \$ 1,235,670.40 \$ -	Comments Summed total Bidder reported total Variance	\$ 1,623,370.30 \$ 1,623,370.30 \$ -	Comments Summed total \$ Bidder reported total \$ Variance \$	1,748,870.30 1,748,870.30 -	Comments Summed total Bidder reported total Variance	\$ 2,490,880.20 \$ 2,490,880.20 \$ -		\$ 3,674,008.00 \$ 3,674,008.00 \$ -	No Comments	

## **BID RESPONSE PACKAGE REVIEW**

Bid Title: ON-CALL AND EMERGENCY WATER AND SEWER UTILITY REPAIR SERVICES

Portion of Bid Reviewed: Entire Bid Package

Date: September 1, 2022

Reviewed by: Monique Durand

Checked by: Wilhelmina Montero

Name of Bidder: Southeastern Engineering Contractors, Inc.

Section 00300 − PROPOSAL

Comments: None

Section 00301 - PROPOSAL BID FORM

Comments: The bidder's reported total of \$1,235,670.40 matched the calculated total of

\$1,235,670.40.

Section 00401 - APPROVED BID BOND

Comments: The Certificate as to Corporate Principal was not signed by a secretary. This section was signed by the Company President.

Section 00495 - TRENCH SAFETY FORM Comments: None.

Section 00420 - INFORMATION REQUIRED FROM BIDDERS

Comments: Section 00100, Article 10.A - Qualifications and Disqualifications of Bidders requires that Contractor demonstrate successful completion (or on-going) minimum of three relevant projects demonstrating experience with water and sewer pipe emergency repair work. Southeastern Engineering Contractors, Inc. provided a list of three emergency repair water and sewer projects. City of Hollywood was listed as one of the three reference Emergency Repair reference projects.

Section 00100, Article 10.B Qualifications and Disqualifications of Bidders also requires that Contractor provide at least four verifiable references for projects similar in size and scope. Southeastern Engineering Contractors, Inc. provided five references including the City of Hollywood. The reference projects are related to lift station and or storm water work.

Southeastern Engineering Contractors, Inc provided a list of on-going projects as per Section 0042, #10. References were provided for these projects.

Southeastern's portfolio appears satisfactory for previous & similar completed projects.

#### **Verification of References:**

Reference Check forms were sent to Town of Golden Beach, City of Hallandale Beach, City of Margate, MDWASD and City of Plantation. Responses were received from Town of Golden

## **BID RESPONSE PACKAGE REVIEW**

Beach and City of Hallandale Beach. Both clients gave the bidder good ratings. Copies of the refences checks are included in this bid evaluation package.

#### **Conclusion:**

After a thorough review of references and documentation provided, Utilities Department considers Southeastern Engineering Contractors a responsive and responsible low bidder qualified to perform the work.

### **BID RESPONSE PACKAGE REVIEW**

Bid Title: ON-CALL AND EMERGENCY WATER AND SEWER UTILITY REPAIR SERVICES

Portion of Bid Reviewed: Entire Bid Package

**Date:** August 30, 2022

Reviewed by: Monique Durand

Checked by: Wilhelmina Montero, Project Manager

Name of Bidder: Comtech Engineering, Inc.

Section 00300 – PROPOSAL

Comments: None

Section 00301 - PROPOSAL BID FORM

Comments: The bidder's reported total of \$1,623,370.30 matched the calculated total of

\$1,623,370.30.

Section 00401 - APPROVED BID BOND

Comments: No Comments.

Section 00495 - TRENCH SAFETY FORM

Comments: None.

Section 00420 - INFORMATION REQUIRED FROM BIDDERS
Comments: Comtech Engineering, Inc. included in their Bid Proposal pacakge (Section

0042, #9) the listed names of three individuals or corporations for which work has been

performed.

Section 00100, Article 10.A. - Qualifications and Disqualifications of Bidders requires that Contractor demonstrate successful completion (or on-going) minimum of three relevant projects demonstrating experience with water and sewer pipe emergency repair work. Comtech did not provide sufficient information to demonstrate experience with water and sewer emergency repair work.

Section 00100, Article 10.B Qualifications and Disqualifications of Bidders also requires that Contractor provide at least four verifiable references for projects similar in size and scope. Comtech did not meet this requirement. No references for similar projects were provided.

Comtech provided a list of On-going projects as per (Section 0042, #10). References were not provided for these projects.

MDWASD was listed as one of the firm references. When cross-checked with the list of ongoing projects only one MDWASD project is listed. However, this project is 0% completed meaning it has not started.

## **BID RESPONSE PACKAGE REVIEW**

### **Verification of References:**

References were not contacted.

#### **Conclusion:**

Comtech has not sucessfully demonstrated that they can perform emergency repair of water and sewer utilities.

### **BID RESPONSE PACKAGE REVIEW**

Bid Title: ON-CALL AND EMERGENCY WATER AND SEWER UTILITY REPAIR SERVICES

Portion of Bid Reviewed: Entire Bid Package

Date: September 1, 2022

Reviewed by: Monique Durand
Checked by: Wilhelmina Montero

Name of Bidder: Ric-Man International, Inc.

Section 00300 – PROPOSAL

Comments: None

Section 00301 - PROPOSAL BID FORM

Comments: The bidder's reported total of \$1,748,870.30 matched the calculated total of

\$1,748,870.30.

Section 00401 - APPROVED BID BOND

Comments: None.

Section 00495 - TRENCH SAFETY FORM

Comments: None.

Section 00420 - INFORMATION REQUIRED FROM BIDDERS

Comments: Section 00100, Article 10.A - Qualifications and Disqualifications of Bidders requires that Contractor demonstrate successful completion (or on-going) minimum of three relevant projects demonstrating experience with water and sewer pipe emergency repair work. Ric-Man International, Inc. provided an extensive list of emergency repair water and sewer projects and references.

Section 00100, Article 10.B Qualifications and Disqualifications of Bidders also requires that Contractor provide at least four verifiable references for projects similar in size and scope. Ric-Man International, Inc. provided 11 references. A specific project was not named for each reference.

Ric-Man provided a list of completed projects and not current projects as per (Section 0042, #10).

Ric-Man's portfolio appears satisfactory for previous & similar completed projects.

#### **Verification of References:**

Reference Check forms were sent to City of Plantation, BCWWS, Palm Beach, MDWASD and Oakland Park. Responses were received from West Palm Beach, BCWWS and Oakland Park. All clients gave the bidder good ratings. Copies of the reference checks are included in this bid evaluation package.

### **BID RESPONSE PACKAGE REVIEW**

Attempts were also made to contact two MDWASD references (Nelson Cespedes and Alexis Valdes) by telephone. The phone number provided for Nelson Cespedes is disconnected. Alexis number did not pick up.

#### **Conclusion:**

After a thorough review of references and documentation provided, Utilities Department considers Ric-Man International, Inc. a responsive and responsible low bidder qualified to peform the work.

## REFERENCE CHECK

Project:			ergency Water an  22-OT (City of Ho	d Sewer Utility Repa Ilywood)	air Se	ervices
Bidder/	Proposer:	Southeastern E	ngineering Contra	ctor, Inc.		
Referen	ce Name:	Town of Golder	n Beach			
Title:	Person: one Number: ddress:	Alex Diaz Town Manager 305-932-0744 alexdiaz@golde				
Project	Description:		tormwater Pump nt: \$1,235,288.50			
		• .		provided by the bion as soon as possible		proposer listed
You ma	y email your res	ponse to:	City of Hollywoo	I (copy Wilhelmina d, Department of Pophollywoodfl.org lywoodfl.org)		•
THANK	YOU FOR YOUR	COOPERATION!				
Questio	ns:					
1.	devote the time	e and personnel	necessary to succ	o your project. Did essfully complete y 4	our p	project?
	Lowest					Highest
2.	•	etence and acces work on your p	-	sonnel directing, su	perv	ising and
	1	2	3	4	5	X
	Lowest					Highest
3.				ated and informed cial needs or proble		
	1	2	3	4	5	Χ
	Lowest		-			Highest

			change orders for y			
1	2 t	3	4	5	X Highest	-
you feel t	he change orde	r(s) were a reflec	request the change tion on the contrac	tor's abilit	y? Yes	
	ontractor's succ n of your projec		tasks within the ti	meline est	ablished for	
1	2	3	4	5	X	
Lowes					Highest	
Rate the c	ontractor's succ	ess at completing	your projects with			
1	2	3	4	5	X	_
Lowes	st .				Highest	
Rate the c		•	ures required by re	_		
1		3	4	5	X	_
Lowes	st				Highest	
Rate the c		ess at completing	your project accor	ding to sp	ecifications	and
1	2	3	4	5	Χ	
Lowes			·		Highest	_
Rate the c	ontractor's succ	ess at working co	operatively with O	wner's per	sonnel.	
1		_	4		X	
Lowes					Highest	_
Rate the c	ontractor's initia	ative in devising v	vays to save projec	t time and	money.	
1	2	3		5		
Lowes	st				Highest	_
Rate the a	ccessibility of th	e contractor afte	r completion of you	ur project.		
1	2	3	4	5	X	_
Lowes	st				Highest	
If the cont		n collaboration w	ith subcontractors,	rate the e	ffectivenes	s of
1		3	4 X	5		
Lowes					Highest	_
Rate the c	ontractor's initia	ative and self-dire	ection while perform	ming on yo	ur project.	
1	2	3	4	5	X	
					Highest	_

		_	our project.	4 1/	-	
	1	2	3	_ 4 <u>X</u>	5	Highest
15.	Rate the contr	actor's comm	nitment of machine	ry and equipm	ent to your	project. Was
	1	2	3	4	5	Χ
	Lowest			<del></del>		Highest
16.	Rate the comfo	ort and confid	dence you had in th	e contractor th	nrough the	progression o
		2	3	4	5	Χ
	Lowest					Highest
L7.	Rate the overa	all performan	ce of the contractor	r on your proje	ct.	
	1	2	3	_ 4 X	5	
	Lowest					Highest
L8.	•		to undertake in the	future, would	the contra	ctor be
	considered to	perform the	work?			
	considered to	perform the		4	5	Χ
l <b>9</b> .	Lowest Is the Contract	2 tor familiar w			<del></del>	Highest
	Lowest  Is the Contract Building Code, Yes X	2tor familiar w , etc. No	ith federal/state/lo Not Sure	cal requiremen	nts, i.e. Dav	Highest
Brief   Furnis	Lowest  Is the Contract Building Code, Yes _X  Description of the	tor familiar w, etc. No e Scope of the (2), 18 horse ssories. Testilway repairs.	ith federal/state/lo Not Sure e Project (Provided epower submersible and of equipment and equipment equipm	by Contractor estorm water of	nts, i.e. Dav ): pumps with of the site in	Highest is Bacon Act, n control pane ncluding
Furnis hatch <i>an</i> ds	Lowest  Is the Contract Building Code, Yes X  Description of the sh and install two covers and acce caping and roady	tor familiar w, etc. No e Scope of the (2), 18 horse ssories. Testin way repairs. Southea	ith federal/state/lo Not Sure Project (Provided epower submersible ng of equipment an ast has a proven tra	by Contractor; e storm water in director contractor con	nts, i.e. Dav ): pumps with of the site in	Highest is Bacon Act, n control pane ncluding
Brief   Furnis hatch	Lowest  Is the Contract Building Code, Yes X  Description of the sh and install two covers and acce	tor familiar w, etc. No e Scope of the (2), 18 horse ssories. Testin way repairs. Southea	ith federal/state/lo Not Sure e Project (Provided epower submersible and of equipment and equipment equipm	by Contractor; e storm water in director contractor con	nts, i.e. Dav ): pumps with of the site in	Highest is Bacon Act, n control pane ncluding
Brief   Furnis natch ands	Lowest  Is the Contract Building Code, Yes X  Description of the sh and install two covers and acce caping and roady	tor familiar w, etc. No e Scope of the (2), 18 horse ssories. Testin way repairs. Southea	ith federal/state/lo Not Sure Project (Provided epower submersible ng of equipment an ast has a proven tra	by Contractor; e storm water in director contractor con	nts, i.e. Dav ): pumps with of the site in	Highest is Bacon Act, n control pane ncluding
Brief   Furnis hatch	Lowest  Is the Contract Building Code, Yes X  Description of the sh and install two covers and acce caping and roady	tor familiar w, etc. No e Scope of the (2), 18 horse ssories. Testin way repairs. Southea	ith federal/state/lo Not Sure Project (Provided epower submersible ng of equipment an ast has a proven tra	by Contractor; e storm water in director contractor con	nts, i.e. Dav ): pumps with of the site in	Highest is Bacon Act, n control pane ncluding
Brief   Furnis hatch	Lowest  Is the Contract Building Code, Yes X  Description of the Sh and install two covers and acce caping and roady ional Comments:	tor familiar w, etc. No e Scope of the (2), 18 horse ssories. Testin way repairs. Southeat recomm	ith federal/state/lo Not Sure Project (Provided epower submersible ng of equipment an ast has a proven tra	by Contractor e storm water of d restoration of ck record with project.	nts, i.e. Dav ): pumps with of the site in	Highest is Bacon Act, control pane including and we highly

### REFERENCE CHECK

Project	:		ergency Water an 22-OT (City of Ho	d Sewer Utility Rep <b>llywood)</b>	air S	ervices
Bidder/	Proposer:	Southeastern E	ngineering Contra	ctor, Inc.		
Referer	nce Name:	City of Hallanda	ale Beach			
Title: Telepho	Person: one Number: ddress:	Charles Casimir Operations Ma 786-284-2222/ ccasimir@halla	nager			
Project	Description:	Hallandale Pum Contract Amou	np Station Mainter nt: Varies	nance		
				provided by the bin as soon as possib		/proposer listed
You ma	y email your re	sponse to:	City of Hollywood	l (copy Wilhelmina d, Department of P <u>ଭhollywoodfl.org</u> lywoodfl.org)		
THANK	YOU FOR YOUR	COOPERATION!				
Questio	ons:					
1.		e and personnel		o your project. Dic essfully complete y 4		
2.		etence and acce work on your p		sonnel directing, su	ıperv	rising and
	1	2	3	4	5	X Highest
3.				ated and informed cial needs or problo 4		

4. Rate the contactor's success at minimizing change orders for your project.

	1	2	_ 3	_ 4	5 X Highest	
				quest the change on on the contrac		If not, did
5.	Rate the contr		at completing to	asks within the ti	meline established fo	or
	1 Lowest	2	_ 3	4	5 X Highest	
6.	Rate the contr	actor's success	at completing y	our projects with	in the contract price	). 
	Lowest				Highest	
7.	Rate the contr 1 Lowest	actor's knowle 2	dge of procedure	es required by re	gulatory agencies.  5 X  Highest	_
8.	Rate the control design standard Lowest		at completing y	our project accor	ding to specification  5 X  Highest	s and
9.		ractor's success 2	at working coop	peratively with O	vner's personnel.	
	Lowest				Highest	
10.	Rate the control  Lowest	actor's initiativ	re in devising war 3	ys to save project 4	time and money.  5 X  Highest	_
11.				completion of you		
	Lowest	2	_ 3	4	5 X Highest	
12.	If the contract		ollaboration with	subcontractors,	rate the effectivene	ess of
	1	2	3 <u>N/A</u>	4	5 Highest	
13.	Rate the control  Lowest	ractor's initiativ 2	ve and self-direct	ion while perform	ning on your project 5 X Highest	i. —

Rate the success of the contractor at defining and meeting daily and weekly objectives

during the progression of your project.

14.

Lowest  Lowest  Lowest  Lowest  te the comfour project.  Lowest  te the overa	ort and cor  2  all performs 2  milar proje	nfidence you had i  3  ance of the contra  3  ct to undertake in	in the contractor three contractor on your project the future, would the future for the	rough the 5 t. 5	X Highest progressi X Highest X Highest
Lowest  Lowest  Lowest  Lowest  Lowest  Lowest  Lowest  you had a single insidered to proper to the complex to	ort and cor  2  all performs 2  milar proje perform th 2	ance of the contra  3  ance to undertake in the work?	4 4	rough the 5 t. 5	X Highest progressi X Highest X Highest
Lowest  Ite the comfour project.  Lowest  Ite the overa  Lowest  you had a single insidered to project.	ort and cor  2  all performs 2  milar proje perform th 2	ance of the contra  3  act to undertake in the work?	4actor on your project 4	t. 5	Highest  progressi  X  Highest  X  Highest
Lowest Lowest Lowest Lowest Lowest Lowest	all performs 2 milar proje perform th	ance of the contra  3  ct to undertake in the work?	4actor on your project 4	t. 5	X Highest X Highest
Lowest Lowest Lowest Lowest you had a single insidered to property.	all performs 2 milar proje perform th	ance of the contra  3  ct to undertake in the work?	4actor on your project 4	t. 5	X Highest X Highest
Lowest  Lowest  you had a single insidered to proceed t	all performs  2  milar proje perform th  2	ance of the contra 3 	actor on your projec 4 4 the future, would t	t. 5 he contrac	X Highest
Lowest  you had a single insidered to	all performs  2  milar proje perform th  2	ance of the contra 3 	actor on your projec 4 4 the future, would t	t. 5 he contrac	X Highest
Lowest you had a sin	milar proje perform th 2	3 cct to undertake in ne work?	the future, would t	5	Highest
you had a sin	milar proje perform th 2	ct to undertake in ne work?	·	he contrac	Highest
you had a sin	perform th	ne work?	·		_
nsidered to	perform th	ne work?	·		ctor be
Lowest		3	4	_	
Lowest				5	<u>X</u>
					Highes
y at one of o they preven	our main lif ted an SSO	t stations. Souther D. They rehabilitate	ded by Contractor): astern response was ed the lift station an	incredibl	
eek after red	ceiving the	parts.			
	This -		ornansiva and always	e willing to	n holm Ti
Comments:			esponsive and alway out water and wast		
		<u> </u>			
•		omments:very		As	Assistant Die

	FIXED CONTRACT - (	CONSTRUCTION			
Project Nbr / Contract Nbr / Title 9193 / PNC2117500C1 / Reclaim	ed Water Transmission Main			Commission District(s) 3,4	
Award Amount \$23,472,576.00	Change Amount <b>\$939,978.54</b>			al Cost -,412,554.54	
Substantial Completion Date 10/15/2021	Final Completion Date 12/14/2021				
Goal Type CBE	County Established	Vendor Committed		Attained	
EVALUATION SUMMAR	Y - EVALUATED BY USING AC	ENCY: WATER & WAS	STEWAT	ER ENGINEERING	
	Is RECOMMENDED For Futur	e Contracts Remarks: (	Overall	Numerical Score	
this contractor completed a ver quality. Invoicing and and nego	y challenging project in a time otiating have been difficult.	ely manner and with hig	gn	3.65	
this contractor completed a ver	y challenging project in a time otiating have been difficult.  GOOD	ely manner and with hig	gn 	3.65	
this contractor completed a ver quality. Invoicing and and nego	otiating have been difficult.		gn	3.65	
this contractor completed a ver quality. Invoicing and and nego Overall Rating	otiating have been difficult.	9)   Excellent (4.50 - 5.00)	gn	3.65	
this contractor completed a ver quality. Invoicing and and nego Overall Rating	GOOD  59)   Fair (2.60 - 3.19)   Good (3.20 - 4.4	9)   Excellent (4.50 - 5.00)	gn	3.65	
this contractor completed a ver quality. Invoicing and and nego Overall Rating Unsatisfactory (1.0 - 1.8)   Poor (1.81 - 2.5)	GOOD  GOOD	9)   Excellent (4.50 - 5.00)	gn	3.65	
this contractor completed a ver quality. Invoicing and and nego Overall Rating Unsatisfactory (1.0 - 1.8)   Poor (1.81 - 2.5)	GOOD  GOOD	9)   Excellent (4.50 - 5.00)			
this contractor completed a ver quality. Invoicing and and negotian of the contractory (1.0 - 1.8)   Poor (1.81 - 2.5)   Overseeing Division  WATER & WASTEWATER ENG	GOOD  GOOD	9)   Excellent (4.50 - 5.00)			
this contractor completed a ver quality. Invoicing and and negotian description.  Overall Rating  Unsatisfactory (1.0 - 1.8)   Poor (1.81 - 2.5)  Overseeing Division  WATER & WASTEWATER ENG  Contract Administrator	GOOD  GOOD	9)   Excellent (4.50 - 5.00)	ward.org		
this contractor completed a ver quality. Invoicing and and negotian description.  Overall Rating  Unsatisfactory (1.0 - 1.8)   Poor (1.81 - 2.5)  Overseeing Division  WATER & WASTEWATER ENG  Contract Administrator  Gregory Balicki, P.E.	GOOD  GOOD	P)   Excellent (4.50 - 5.00)  INFORMATION  Email: gbalicki@brov	ward.org		
this contractor completed a ver quality. Invoicing and and negotian description.  Overall Rating  Unsatisfactory (1.0 - 1.8)   Poor (1.81 - 2.5)  Overseeing Division  WATER & WASTEWATER ENG  Contract Administrator  Gregory Balicki, P.E.  Project Manager	GOOD  GOOD	Email: mhagerty@br	ward.org		
this contractor completed a ver quality. Invoicing and and negotian description.  Overall Rating  Unsatisfactory (1.0 - 1.8)   Poor (1.81 - 2.6)  Overseeing Division  WATER & WASTEWATER ENG  Contract Administrator  Gregory Balicki, P.E.  Project Manager	GOOD  59)   Fair (2.60 - 3.19)   Good (3.20 - 4.4  COUNTY CONTACT  INEERING	Email: mhagerty@br	ward.org		

A) Project Management	Section Score: 3.40
Evaluation Question	Rating
1. How well did the vendor cooperate with the Contract Administrator, other County personnel an consultant?	d the 3 - Fair
2. How closely did vendor conform with specifications, drawings and other requirements?	4 - Good
3. How appropriate was the staff assigned to do the work to ensure a quality product on a timely basis?	4 - Good
4. How actively did the vendor communicate with subvendors and others involved in project?	3 - Fair
5. How adequate and effective was the vendor's coordination and control of subvendors' work a documentation?	
6. How proactively did the vendor participate in the resolution of disputes? 🥻 💦 💃	2 - Poor
7. How timely were the notices of inspection requests?	4 - Good
8. How well did the vendor control the project by providing recommendations, addressing issues, participating in decision making, and working with government officials and the County?	4 - Good
O Have along did the wander keep the work site on a continuous basis?	3 - Fair
9. How clean did the vendor keep the work site on a continuous basis?	
9. How clean did the vendor keep the work site on a continuous basis?  10. How well did the vendor conform to the permit requirements?  Comments:	3 - Fair
10. How well did the vendor conform to the permit requirements?  Comments:  B) Business Practices	3 - Fair
10. How well did the vendor conform to the permit requirements?  Comments:	3 - Fair  Section Score: 4.00  Rating  4 - Good
10. How well did the vendor conform to the permit requirements?  Comments:  B) Business Practices  Evaluation Question  1. How was the vendor's compliance with the United States Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) and Broward County's Risk Management Division, Safety and Occupation Health Section requirements? Consider the vendor's established safety program, compliance with the United States Occupation Safety and Occupation Health Section requirements? Consider the vendor's established safety program, compliance with the United States Occupation Safety and Occupation Health Section requirements?	3 - Fair  Section Score: 4.00  Rating  4 - Good  all th  4 - Good
10. How well did the vendor conform to the permit requirements?  Comments:  B) Business Practices  Evaluation Question  1. How was the vendor's compliance with the United States Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) and Broward County's Risk Management Division, Safety and Occupation Health Section requirements? Consider the vendor's established safety program, compliance wis standards, safety practices, accident prevention, etc.  2. How well did the vendor manage business relationships with subvendors by ensuring that subvendors were fully paid for work that had been completed to specifications? (This information)	3 - Fair  Section Score: 4.00  Rating  4 - Good  all th  4 - Good

) Cost Control Sec	tion Score: 3.60
valuation Question	Rating
. How actively did the vendor pursue/take aggressive action in obtaining documents such as building ermits, Certificate of Occupancy and other required documents on a timely basis?	4 - Good
. How actively did the vendor participate in overcoming problems with other vendors, building fficials, and/or regulatory agencies?	4 - Good
. How valid were the claims for extra costs?	2 - Poor
. How well did the vendor comply with the prevailing wage rate policy?	4 - Good
. How well did the vendor comply with the County's Living Wage rate policy (if applicable)?	4 - Good
Comments:	
) Timeliness	tion Score: 3.71
valuation Question	Rating
. How well did the vendor manage delivery of necessary equipment and material for the project?	4 - Good
. How timely and accurate were payment requests when submitted?	3 - Fair
. How well did the vendor meet the schedule of deliverables established at the beginning of the project?	4 - Good
. How well did the vendor conform with schedule of work in progress in order to meet the planned completion dates for Phase Completion?	4 - Good
i. How well did the vendor conform with schedule of work in progress in order to meet the planned completion dates for Substantial Completion?	4 - Good
6. How well did the vendor conform with schedule of work in progress in order to meet the planned completion?	4 - Good
7. How effectively did the vendor communicate with the Contract Administrator and other County personnel as well as the consultant?	3 - Fair
Comments:	
Society	tion Score: 3.25
-) Change Order management	Rating
Evaluation Question	Yes
I. Did the vendor provide independent estimates of the value of changes?	
2. How accurate and timely were the preliminary estimates of the value of change orders/amendment provided by the vendor?	
3. How accurate and timely were change orders/amendments processed with the proper documentation?	3 - Fair
4. How fair and timely did the vendor prepare, negotiate and make recommendations to the County regarding change orders/amendments?	3 - Fair
5. How appropriate were the vendor's recommendations for time extensions based on the actual	4 - Good

F) Quality Of Work	Section Score: 4.00
Evaluation Question	Rating
1. How accessible was the work for inspection?	4 - Good
2. How close were the equipment and materials to the specifications?	4 - Good
3. How closely were industry standard construction methods followed?	4 - Good
4. How responsive and competent were superintendents, supervisors and workers?	4 - Good
Comments:	
G) Project Closeout	Section Score: 4.00
Evaluation Question	Rating
How well did the project meet specified standards when inspected?	4 - Good
2. How complete and accurate was the documentation provided at the completion of the project, including punch list, warranties, operation, appropriate manuals and Certificate of Occupancy fron appropriate jurisdiction?	4 - Good n the
3. How clean did the vendor leave the worksite by completely disposing of debris in a legal manner	er? 4 - Good
4. How accurate and timely were the vendor's final project accounting documents sent to Broward County?	I N/A
Comments:	
H) Small Business Compliance	Section Score: N/A
Evaluation Question	Rating
Did the vendor meet its small business participation commitment?	N/A
2. Did the vendor utilize the approved small business firms as committed?	N/A
3. How timely did the vendor submit monthly utilization reports?	N/A
4. How well did the vendor manage business relationships with subvendors by ensuring that subvendors were promptly paid?	N/A
5. If commitments were not met, how actively did the vendor pursue options needed to perform th work as specified and demonstrate good faith efforts to comply with program requirements?	e N/A
Comments:	

## **REFERENCE CHECK**

Project	t:	On-call and Em Project # 4730		r and Sewer Utility • <b>Hollywood)</b>	Repair S	Services
Bidder	/Proposer:	Ric-Man Intern	ational, Inc.			
Refere	nce Name:	Palm Beach Uti	ilities Departm	ent		
Title: Teleph	et Person: one Number: Address:	Joseph Tanadre Project Manage 561-493-6088 jtanacredi@pbe	er			
				ces provided by th turn as soon as pos		/proposer listed
You ma	ay email your res	ponse to:	City of Hollyw Email: <u>mdura</u>	and (copy Wilhelm rood, Department on nd@hollywoodfl.o hollywoodfl.org)	of Public	
THANK	YOU FOR YOUR	COOPERATION!				
Questic	ons:					
1.				or to your project. uccessfully comple		
2.		tence and acces work on your pr		personnel directing	g, superv	_
	1	2	3	<b>(4</b> )	5	
	Lowest					Highest
3.				pdated and inform pecial needs or pro		
4.		or's success at r	ninimizing cha 3	nge orders for you	r project	

	If there were change you feel the change				If not, did No
5.		success at completin		meline established for	
		3	4	5	
	Lowest		<i>_</i>	Highest	
6.	Rate the contractor's		g your projects with	•	
	1 2 Lowest	3		5 Highest	
7.	Rate the contractor's l	nowledge of proced	ures required by re	gulatory agencies.	
	1 2	3	4	5	
	Lowest			Highest	
8.	Rate the contractor's s design standards.	uccess at completing	g your project accor	ding to specifications and	
	1 2	3		5	
	Lowest		<i>O</i>	Highest	
9.	Rate the contractor's s	uccess at working co	operatively with Ov		
	1 2	3	4	5 Highest	
10.	Rate the contractor's i	nitiative in devising v	vays to save project	time and money.	
	1 2	3	(A)	5	
	Lowest			Highest	
11.	Rate the accessibility o	f the contractor afte 3	r completion of you	r project. 5	
	Lowest			Highest	
12.		d in collaboration w	ith subcontractors, i	rate the effectiveness of	
	the collaboration.	2		-	
	1 2	3 -	(4)	5 Highest	
13.	Rate the contractor's ir	itiative and self-dire	ction while perform	ing on your project.	
	1 2	3	(4)	5	
	Lowest			Highest	
14.	Rate the success of the	contractor at defining	ng and meeting dails	y and weekly objectives	
	during the progression		C arra mooning daily	, and traamy abjectives	
	1 2	3	(4)	5	
	Lowest			Highest	

No \_\_\_\_

15.	Rate the contr sufficient?	ractor's comn	nitment of machi	nery and equipme	ent to your project. Was it
	1	2	3	<b>6</b>	5
	Lowest	-			Highest
16.		ort and confi	dence you had in	the contractor th	rough the progression of
	your project.	1	2	D	_
	1 Lowest	2	3		5 Highest
	LOWEST				rignest
17.	Rate the overa	ıll performan	ce of the contrac	tor on your projec	et.
	1	2	3		5
	Lowest		-		Highest
18.	If you had a sir considered to p			he future, would t	the contractor be
	1		3	4)	5
	Lowest		7		Highest
19.	Is the Contract Building Code, Yes	etc.	ith federal/state/ Not Sur		ts, i.e. Davis Bacon Act,
	Building Code, Yes	etc. No	Not Sur		
Brief (	Building Code, Yes	etc. No	Not Sur	re	

## REFERENCE CHECK

	t:	On-call and Project # 4	Services			
Bidder	·/Proposer:	Ric-Man In	ternational, Inc.			
Refere	ence Name:	Projects we	ere in Oakland Pa	rk		
Title: Teleph	ct Person: none Number: Address:	John Micha Project Ma 954-630-44 jmptm1@h	nager			
				vices provided by the		r/proposer listed
You ma	ay email your re	esponse to:	City of Holly Email: <u>mdur</u>	urand (copy Wilhelm wood, Department and@hollywoodfl.c @hollywoodfl.org)	of Public	
THANK	K YOU FOR YOU	R COOPERATI	ON!			
Questi	ions:					
1.				tor to your project.		
	1 Lowest	2	3	successfully comple 4	-	yes Highest
2.	1Lowest	2	3a		5	yes Highest
2.	Lowest  Rate the comp	2	3a	4	5	yes Highest
2.	Lowest  Rate the comp performing the  Lowest  Rate the contr	2  petence and a e work on you  2  ractor's succe	3 3 cccessibility of the ur project.  3 3 ss at keeping you	4e personnel directin	5 g, superv 5 med abo	yes Highest vising and  Very responsive Highest ut the

	you feel the ch	nange order(s) we	re a reflection on	the	contractor's a	bilit	y? Yes No
5.	Rate the contra	ctor's success at o	completing tasks v	withi	in the timeline	est	ablished for
							Completed
	1	2	3	4		5	on time
	Lowest						Highest
6.	Rate the contra	ctor's success at o	completing your p	oroje	cts within the	con	tract price.
							Completed
							under
	1	2	3	4		5	budget
	Lowest						Highest
7.	Rate the contra	ctor's knowledge	of procedures re	quire	ed by regulator	ry ag	gencies.
	1	2	3	4		5	
	Lowest						Highest
8.	Rate the contra design standard	ctor's success at o	completing your p	oroje	ct according to	spe	
						_	Certified
	1	2	3	4		5	by EOR
	Lowest						Highest
9.	Rate the contra	ctor's success at v	working cooperat	ively	with Owner's	per	sonnel.
	1		3				good
	Lowest						Highest
10.	Rate the contra	ctor's initiative in	devising ways to	save	project time	and	money.
	1	2	3		good	5	·
	Lowest			•			Highest
11.	Rate the access	ibility of the conti	ractor after comp	letio	n of vour proje	ect.	
		,	,		, , ,		Very
	1	2	3	4		5	responsive
	Lowest			•			Highest
12.	If the contracto	r worked in collat n.	ooration with sub	cont	ractors, rate th	ne e	ffectiveness of
							Responsive
							to my
	1	2	3	4		5	concerns
	Lowest						Highest
13.	Rate the contra	ctor's initiative ar	nd self-direction v	vhile	performing o	n yo	ur project.
	1	2	3	4	good	5	

Highest

Lowest

14.	Rate the success of the contractor at defini during the progression of your project.			ng and mee	eting daily	and weekly objectives		
	1	2		4	X	5		
	Lowest			4			Highest	
15.	Rate the contr sufficient?	actor's comn	nitment of machi	nery and e	quipmen	t to your	project. Was it	
	1	2	3	4	Χ	5		
	Lowest						Highest	
16.		ort and confi	dence you had in	the contra	actor thro	ough the p	progression of	
	your project.	2	2			_		
	1	2	3	4		5	X	
	Lowest						Highest	
17.			ce of the contrac					
	1	2	3	4		5	X	
	Lowest						Highest	
18.	If you had a sin considered to		to undertake in t work?	he future,	would th	e contrac	tor be	
	1		3	4		5	Yes	
	Lowest						Highest	
19.	Building Code, Yes X	, etc.	vith federal/state	re		, i.e. Davi	S BACOII ACL,	
Vario	•	nage, water a	e Project (Provid and sewer installa	•	•	addition	al design and	
Additi	ional Comments:							
Name	e: John M. Pere			Tit	le: <u>Proj</u>	ject Mana	nger	
<b>C</b> :	. 0/	711 0		_	. 0/5/0	000		
Signat	ture <i>John</i>	M. Peri	23	Da	te: <u>9/5/2</u>	.UZZ		